## PINELLAS COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

## Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

Restoration of Audit Adjustments

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005



State of Florida Auditor General David W. Martin, CPA

#### GOVERNING BODY AND SUPERINTENDENT

The governing body of the District is the District School Board, which is composed of seven elected members. The executive officer of the Board is the appointed Superintendent of Schools. The Board members and Superintendent of Schools who served during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, were:

Lee R. Benjamin to 11-15-04
Janet R. Clark from 11-16-04
Linda S. Lerner
Carol J. Cook, Vice Chair
Mary Tyrus Brown
Nancy Bostock, Chair from 11-16-04
E. Jane Gallucci, Chair to 11-15-04
Mary L. Russell

Dr. J. Howard Hinesley, Superintendent to 10-31-04 Dr. Clayton M. Wilcox, Superintendent from 11-01-04

Please address inquiries regarding this report to Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager, via e-mail at <a href="mailto:joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us">joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us</a> or by telephone at (850) 414-9941.

This report and other reports prepared by the Auditor General can be obtained on our Web site at <a href="https://www.myflorida.com/audgen">www.myflorida.com/audgen</a>; by telephone at (850) 487-9024; or by mail at G74 Claude Pepper Building, 111 West Madison Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450.

#### Pinellas County District School Board Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students Restoration of Audit Adjustments

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

	PAGE NO.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS	
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Schedule A – Effect of Restored Audit Adjustments on Weighted FTE	3
Schedule B – Restoration of Audit Adjustments	4
Commissioner's Letter	11
Informal Conference Panel's Memorandum	12

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### **Summary**

Pursuant to State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.0453(5), Florida Administrative Code, the Pinellas County District School Board requested an informal conference with the Department of Education (DOE) to discuss several findings presented in report No. 2006-193. The informal conference was held on September 23, 2009. The resulting informal conference panel's memorandum of October 20, 2009, recommended the restoration of certain specified audit adjustments. The panel's recommendations were accepted by the Commissioner of Education, as evidenced by letter dated October 26, 2009.

The FTE audit adjustments restored pursuant to the panel's recommendations totaled to zero unweighted FTE, but have a potential impact on weighted FTE of a positive 33.4851 FTE. The financial impact of the restored audit adjustments is subject to the determination of the Department of Education.

i



DAVID W. MARTIN, CPA AUDITOR GENERAL

# AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF FLORIDA

G74 Claude Pepper Building 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



PHONE: 850-488-5534 Fax: 850-488-6975

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES FOR RESTORATION OF AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS

We have previously examined management's assertion, included in its representation letter dated August 10, 2005, that the Pinellas County District School Board complied with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, and have presented the results of our examination in report No. 2006-193, issued June 12, 2006. This agreed-upon procedures report on the restoration of audit adjustments should be read in conjunction with report No. 2006-193.

Pursuant to State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.0453(5), Florida Administrative Code, the Pinellas County District School Board requested an informal conference with the Department of Education (DOE) to discuss several findings and their associated audit adjustments, as presented in report No. 2006-193. The informal conference was held on September 23, 2009. The resulting informal conference panel's memorandum of October 20, 2009, recommended the restoration of certain specified audit adjustments. The panel's recommendations were accepted by the Commissioner of Education, as evidenced by letter dated October 26, 2009.

In conjunction with the panel's recommendations and the Commissioner's acceptance, we performed certain agreed-upon procedures, specified in SCHEDULE B herein, to determine and report the audit adjustments to be restored. We performed the agreed-upon procedures, and have issued this report, solely to assist DOE with this restoration. DOE is responsible for the sufficiency of the agreed-upon procedures and the computation of the restoration's financial impact. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

We performed the agreed-upon procedures in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and the standards contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the restoration of audit adjustments contained herein. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures pursuant to such an examination, other matters might have come to our attention that we would have reported.

Pursuant to Section 11.45(4), Florida Statutes, this report is a public record and its distribution is not limited. Attestation standards established by the AICPA require us to indicate that this report is intended solely for the information and use of the Legislative Auditing Committee, members of the Florida Senate and the Florida House of Representatives, the State Board of Education, the Department of Education, and applicable District management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

David W. Martin, CPA November 17, 2009

#### **SCHEDULE A**

Pinellas County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

### EFFECT OF RESTORED AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS ON WEIGHTED FTE

(For Illustrative Purposes Only)

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

No. Program	Net Audit Adjustment <sup>1</sup>	Cost <u>Factor</u>	Weighted <u>FTE</u> <sup>2</sup>
101 Basic K-3	(1.7798)	1.012	(1.8012)
102 Basic 4-8	(5.5774)	1.000	(5.5774)
103 Basic 9-12	(3.3358)	1.132	(3.7761)
111 Grade K-3 with ESE Services	(2.5000)	1.012	(2.5300)
112 Grade 4-8 with ESE Services	(1.5000)	1.000	(1.5000)
113 Grade 9-12 with ESE Services	(5.5000)	1.132	(6.2260)
130 English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL)	9.6930	1.302	12.6203
254 ESE Support Level 4	10.0000	3.948	39.4800
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>.5000</u>	5.591	<u>2.7955</u>
Total	.0000		<u>33.4851</u>

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See SCHEDULE B.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The restored weighted FTE adjustments are presented for illustrative purposes only; they do not take special program caps or allocation factors into consideration and are not intended to indicate the FTE used to compute the dollar value of audit adjustments. That computation is the responsibility of the Department of Education.

#### **SCHEDULE B**

Pinellas County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

#### RESTORATION OF AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

#### **Overview**

Pursuant to State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.0453(5), Florida Administrative Code, the Pinellas County District School Board requested an informal conference with the Department of Education (DOE) to discuss several findings and their associated audit adjustments, as presented in report No. 2006-193. The informal conference was held on September 23, 2009. The resulting informal conference panel's memorandum of October 20, 2009, recommended the restoration of certain specified audit adjustments. The panel's recommendations were accepted by the Commissioner of Education, as evidenced by letter dated October 26, 2009. In conjunction with the panel's recommendations and the Commissioner's acceptance, we performed certain agreed-upon procedures to determine and report the number of FTE audit adjustments to be restored, as specified below.

Net Audit
Adjustments Restored
(Unweighted FTE)

#### **Description**

#### 1. Finding Nos. 10 (Ref. 39107), 208 (Ref. 342112/13/14), 240 (Ref. 459107), and 259 (Ref. 618106)

The Matrix of Services forms for 23 ESE students were not reviewed in conjunction with the review or development of the students' IEPs. We made the following audit adjustments:

Finding No. 10 (Ref. 39107) 111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services 254 ESE Support Level 4 Finding No. 208	2.0000 (2.0000)
Ref. 342112 113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services 254 ESE Support Level 4	1.0000 (1.0000)
Ref. 342113	
103 Basic 9-12	2.0000
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	2.0000
254 ESE Support Level 4	(4.0000)
Ref. 342114	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	4.5000
254 ESE Support Level 4	(4.5000)

#### **SCHEDULE B** (Continued)

Pinellas County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

#### RESTORATION OF AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

Net Audit
Adjustments Restored
(Unweighted FTE)

#### **Description**

#### 1. Finding Nos. 10 (Ref. 39107), 208 (Ref. 342112/13/14), 240 (Ref. 459107), and 259 (Ref. 618106) (Cont.)

Finding No. 240 (Ref. 459107)	
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services	3.2500
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	1.0000
254 ESE Support Level 4	(3.2500)
255 ESE Support Level 5	(1.0000)
Finding No. 259 (Ref. 618106)	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	2.5000
254 ESE Support Level 4	(3.0000)
255 ESE Support Level 5	.5000

<u>Summary of Discussion</u>: The District provided evidence that the *Matrix of Services* forms for 9 of the 23 students reflect the IEP determinations and that the *Matrix of Services* forms for 3 other students show that the levels should be reduced to the next program reporting levels.

<u>Panel's Recommendation</u>: Based on the results of an analysis by the staff of the Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services of the supporting documentation that was provided by the district, the panel recommended restoration of the FTE and funding for nine students and partial restoration of the audit adjustments for three other students. Reversal of the audit adjustment that reduced the reported program level for one student form program No. 254 to program No. 255 was also recommended.

<u>Auditor's Procedures</u>: Pursuant to the panel's recommendation, we restored the audit adjustments specified, as presented below:

Finding No. 10 (Ref. 39107) 111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services 254 ESE Support Level 4	(1.0000) <u>1.0000</u>	.0000
Finding No. 208		
Ref. 342113 103 Basic 9-12 113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services 254 ESE Support Level 4	(1.0000) (1.0000) <u>2.0000</u>	.0000

#### **SCHEDULE B** (Continued)

Pinellas County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

#### RESTORATION OF AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

Net Audit
Adjustments Restored
(Unweighted FTE)

#### **Description**

#### 1. Finding Nos. 10 (Ref. 39107), 208 (Ref. 342112/13/14), 240 (Ref. 459107), and 259 (Ref. 618106) (Cont.)

Ref. 342114 113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services 254 ESE Support Level 4	(2.0000) 2.0000	.0000
Finding No. 240 (Ref. 459107)		
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services	(1.5000)	
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	(1.0000)	
254 ESE Support Level 4	2.0000	
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>.5000</u>	.0000
Finding No. 259 (Ref. 618106)		
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	(2.5000)	
254 ESE Support Level 4	3.0000	
255 ESE Support Level 5	( <u>.5000</u> )	.0000

#### 2. Finding No. 22 (Ref. 68107/08)

The files for two ESE students did not contain a Matrix of Services form that covered the reporting survey. We made the following audit adjustments:

Ref. 68107 112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services 255 ESE Support Level 5	.5000 (.5000)
Ref. 68108 112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	.5000
255 ESE Support Level 5	(.5000)

<u>Summary of Discussion</u>: The District provided evidence that the *Matrix of Services* form for one of the two students reflects the IEP determination.

<u>Panel's Recommendation</u>: The Panel recommended the restoration of the audit adjustments for one of the two students cited.

<u>Auditor's Procedures</u>: Pursuant to the panel's recommendation, we have restored the audit adjustments for the specified student cited in finding No. 22, as presented below:

#### **SCHEDULE B** (Continued)

Pinellas County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

#### RESTORATION OF AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

Net Audit
Adjustments Restored
(Unweighted FTE)

#### Description

2. Finding No. 22 (Ref. 68107/08) (Continued)

<u>Ref. 68107</u>		
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	(.5000)	
255 ESE Support Level 5	.5000	.0000

#### 3. Finding Nos. 30 (Ref. 71107), 189 (Ref. 341101), and 257 (Ref. 618103)

The parental notification letters for 11 LEP students were not dated and it could not otherwise be determined if the notifications were made prior to the reporting survey. Adjustments were made to reduce the FTE for the reported program level and increase the Basic Services program level. (Finding No. 189 also cited a scheduling error which was not part of the District's appeal and resulted in the positive .0766 FTE effect shown below.)

Finding No. 30 (Ref. 71107) 103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	.2550 (.2550)
Finding No. 189 (Ref. 341101) 102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	4.1838 (4.1072) .0766
Finding No. 257 (Ref. 618103) 103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	2.0808 (2.0808)

<u>Summary of Discussion</u>: The District contended that, although the supporting paperwork did not contain evidence that the notification letters were sent to the parents on a timely basis, it indicates that the letters were sent to the parents.

<u>Panel's Recommendation</u>: The Panel recommended the restoration of the above audit adjustments.

<u>Auditor's Procedures</u>: Pursuant to the panel's recommendation, we have restored the audit adjustments for the notification exceptions noted in the above findings, as presented below:

<u>Finding No. 30 (Ref. 71107)</u>		
103 Basic 9-12	(.2550)	
130 ESOL	.2550	.0000

#### **SCHEDULE B** (Continued)

Pinellas County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

#### RESTORATION OF AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

Net Audit
Adjustments Restored
(Unweighted FTE)

#### Description

#### 3. Finding Nos. 30 (Ref. 71107), 189 (Ref. 341101), and 257 (Ref. 618103) (Continued)

Finding No. 189 (Ref. 341101) 102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	(4.1072) 4.1072	.0000
Finding No. 257 (Ref. 618103) 103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	(2.0808) 2.0808	.0000

#### 4. Finding No. 92 (Ref. 191173/75/76/77)

Four teachers taught Primary Language Arts to classes that included LEP students during the school terms covered by the October and February surveys, but were not properly certified to teach LEP students and were not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field until October 26, 2004, after the October survey. We made the following audit adjustments:

Ref. 191173 102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	1.2618 (1.2618)
Ref. 191175 102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	.2084 (.2084)
Ref. 191176 101 Basic K-3 130 ESOL	.9930 (.9930)
Ref. 191177 101 Basic K-3 130 ESOL	.3234 (.3234)

<u>Summary of Discussion</u>: The District contended that the schedule of board meetings prevented earlier approval of the teachers' out-of-field status.

<u>Panel's Recommendation</u>: The Panel recommended the restoration of the above audit adjustments.

#### **SCHEDULE B** (Continued)

Pinellas County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

#### RESTORATION OF AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

Net Audit
Adjustments Restored
(Unweighted FTE)

#### **Description**

#### 4. Finding No. 92 (Ref. 191173/75/76/77) (Continued)

<u>Auditor's Procedures</u>: Pursuant to the panel's recommendation, we have restored the audit adjustments for the above findings, as presented below:

Ref. 191173 102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	(1.2618) 1.2618	.0000
Ref. 191175 102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	(.2084) . <u>2084</u>	.0000
Ref. 191176 101 Basic K-3 130 ESOL	(.9930) .9930	.0000
Ref. 191177 101 Basic K-3 130 ESOL	(.3234) .3234	.0000

#### 5. Finding No. 244 (Ref. 459111)

The English language assessment for one student, who was reported in ESOL in the October survey, was not conducted in a timely manner and indicated that the student was FES. The assessment was due prior to August 27, 2004; however, the student was not tested until October 29, 2004, and documentation justifying the student's continued ESOL-placement was not prepared until November 11, 2004. We made the following audit adjustments:

101 Basic K-3	.4634
130 ESOL	(.4634)

<u>Summary of Discussion</u>: The District contended that the student's move from another school was a factor in the delayed timing of the assessment.

<u>Panel's Recommendation</u>: The Panel recommended the restoration of the above audit adjustments.

#### **SCHEDULE B** (Continued)

Pinellas County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

#### RESTORATION OF AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

Net Audit Adjustments Restored (Unweighted FTE)

#### **Description**

5. Finding No. 244 (Ref. 459111) (Continued)

<u>Auditor's Procedures</u>: Pursuant to the panel's recommendation, we have restored the audit adjustments for the above finding, as presented below:

101 Basic K-3 (.4634)

130 ESOL <u>.4634</u> <u>.0000</u>

.0000

Pinellas County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

#### **COMMISSIONER'S LETTER**

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

## FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



Commissioner of Education

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

T. W ILLARD FAIR, Chairman

Members

PETER BOILWARE

DR. A KSHAY DESAI

ROBJERTOMARTÍNEZ

JOHN R. PADGET

KATHLEN SHANAHAN

LINDA K. TAYLOR

October 26, 2009

Dr. Julie M. Janssen, Superintendent Pinellas County School District 301 4th Street, Southwest Largo, FL 33770

Dear Superintendent Janssen:

My staff has reviewed with me the recommended agreement, which was a result of the informal audit conference concluded on September 23, 2009. The conference concerned the Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students and Student Transportation Audit (Report No. 2006-193) for the year ending June 30, 2005, for the School District of Pinellas County. I accept the informal conference panel's recommendation and, by copy of this letter, am asking Deputy Commissioner Linda Champion to initiate appropriate action with regard to the FEFP adjustment for Pinellas County. I am pleased that an agreement was reached by the informal conference participants.

Sincerely,

Dr. Eric J. Smith

EJS:lj

cc: Linda Champion Joe Williams Frances Haithcock

#### Pinellas County District School Board Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students (FEFP)

#### INFORMAL CONFERENCE PANEL'S MEMORANDUM

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

## FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

T. WILLARD FAIR, Chairman

Member

PETER BOULWARE

DR. AKSHAY DESAT

PORERTO MARTÍNEZ

JOHN R. PADGET

KATHLEEN SHANAHAN

LINDA K. TAYLOR

Dr. Eric J. Smith Commissioner of Education

\* Just Read

#### **MEMORANDUM**

Date:

October 20, 2009

To:

Commissioner Eric J. Smith

From:

Link Jarrett

Subject:

Audit Report No. 2006-193, Report on the School District of Pinellas County, Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

and Student Transportation for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

On June 24, 2008, the Department received a request from the Pinellas County School District for an informal conference to discuss Audit Report No. 2006-193 and issues related to the report. The informal conference was held by phone on September 23, 2009.

The Pinellas County School District was represented by Doug Forth, Fred Matz, Kevin Smith, Lansing Johansen, and Carmen Kizer. The Department was represented by Kim Komisar, Lori Rodriguez, Lee Davis, and Becky Pruett. Martha Asbury, David Morris, and I were on the informal conference panel. Joe Williams represented the Office of the Auditor General.

In its written response to the audit report, Pinellas County School District appealed audit findings related to attendance; certification; and vocational, ESE, and ESOL programs. The recommendations that resulted from the informal appeal conference and review of subsequently received supporting documentation from the district are as follows:

 Review of Matrix of Services (Finding Nos. 10, 208, 240, & 259, Ref. 39107, 342113-114, 459107 & 618106)

Summary of Finding: The Matrix of Services forms for 23 ESE students were not reviewed in conjunction with the review or development of the students' IEPs. Adjustments were made to reduce the FTE for the reported ESE program levels and increase the Basic with ESE Services program levels.

LINCOLN JARRETT, JR.

OPERATIONS AND PROGRAM MANAGER

325 W. GAINES STREET • SUITE 1214 • TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0400 • (850) 245-0405 • www.fldoe.org FAX (850) 245-9135

#### Pinellas County District School Board Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students (FEFP)

#### INFORMAL CONFERENCE PANEL'S MEMORANDUM (Continued)

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

Commissioner Eric J. Smith October 20, 2009 Page 2

The district provided evidence that the *Matrix of Services* forms for 9 of the 23 students reflect the IEP determinations and that the *Matrix of Services* forms for 3 other students show that the levels should be reduced to the next program reporting levels.

Recommendation: Based on the results of an analysis by the staff of the Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services of the supporting documentation that was provided by the district, the panel recommended restoration of the FTE and funding for nine students and partial restoration of the audit adjustments for three other students. Reversal of the audit adjustment that reduced the reported program level for one student from program No. 254 to program No. 255 was also recommended.

#### 2. Valid Matrix of Services forms (Finding No. 22, Ref. 68107 / 08)

Summary of Finding: The files for two ESE students did not contain a Matrix of Services form that covered the reporting survey. An adjustment was made to reduce the FTE for the reported ESE program level and increase the Basic with ESE Services program level.

The district provided evidence that the *Matrix of Services* form for one of the two students reflects the IEP determination.

Recommendation: Based on the results of an analysis by the staff of the Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services of the supporting documentation that was provided by the district, the panel recommended restoration of the FTE and funding for one student.

#### 3. Parental Notification (Finding Nos. 30, 189, & 257, Ref. 71107, 341101, & 618103)

Summary of Findings: The parental notification letters for 11 LEP students were not dated and it could not otherwise be determined if the notifications were made prior to the reporting survey. Adjustments were made to reduce the FTE for the reported program level and increase the Basic Services program level.

The district contended that, although the supporting paperwork did not contain evidence that the notification letters were sent to the parents on a timely basis, it indicates that the letters were sent to the parents.

Recommendation: The panel recommended restoration of FTE and funding for the audit adjustments made for the findings that were appealed, since the required paperwork was prepared and sent to the parents.

#### 4. Out-of-field status (Finding No. 92, Ref. 191173, 75-77)

Summary of Finding: Four teachers taught Primary Language Arts to classes that included LEP students during the school terms covered by the October and February surveys, but the teachers were not properly certified to teach LEP students and were not approved by the school board to teach such students out of field until October 26, 2004, after the October survey. An adjustment

#### Pinellas County District School Board Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students (FEFP)

#### INFORMAL CONFERENCE PANEL'S MEMORANDUM (Continued)

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

Commissioner Eric J. Smith October 20, 2009 Page 3

was made to reduce the FTE for the reported ESE program level and increase the Basic Services program level.

The district contended that the schedule of board meetings prevented earlier approval of the teachers' out-of-field status.

Recommendation: The panel recommended restoration of FTE and funding for the October and February survey audit adjustments made for the finding that was appealed. The recommendation was made on the basis of the board meeting scheduling issue.

#### 5. English language assessment (Finding No. 244, Ref. 459111)

Summary of Findings: The English language assessment for one student, who was reported in ESOL in the October survey, was not conducted in a timely manner and indicated that the student was a Full English Speaker. The assessment was due prior to August 2004; however, the student was not tested until October 2004, and documentation justifying the student's continued ESOL placement was not prepared until November 2004. An adjustment was made to reduce the FTE for the reported program level and increase the Basic Services program level.

The district contended that the student's move from another school was a factor in the delayed timing of the assessment.

Recommendation: The panel recommended restoration of FTE and funding for the audit adjustment made for the finding that was appealed, since the student moved from another school and a formal eligibility decision was required.

If you accept the recommendations, the Office of Funding and Financial Reporting and the Auditor General should be notified to take appropriate restoration action.

#### LJ/bp

cc: Martha Asbury
Linda Champion
Martha Haynes
Julie Janssen
Lansing Johansen
Kim Komisar
David Morris
Lori Rodriguez
Joe Williams