

AUDITOR GENERAL WILLIAM O. MONROE, CPA



GULF COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

FLORIDA EDUCATION FINANCE PROGRAM (FEFP)
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS

AND

STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

The governing body of the District is the District School Board, which is composed of five elected members. The executive officer of the Board is the elected Superintendent of Schools. The Board members and Superintendent of Schools who served during the examination period were: George M. Cox, Robert Little, III (from 9-8-05); Charlotte M. Pierce; Billy Charles Quinn, Jr.; Linda R. Wood; and Tim Wilder, Superintendent of Schools.

This examination was conducted by Alice Pounds, CPA, and supervised by J. David Hughes, CPA. Please address inquiries regarding this report to Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager, via e-mail at joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us or by telephone at (850) 414-9941.

This report, as well as other audit reports prepared by the Auditor General, can be obtained on our Web site (http://www.state.fl.us/audgen); by telephone at (850) 487-9024; or by mail at G74 Claude Pepper Building, 111 West Madison Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450.

Gulf County District School Board **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS	PAGI NO.
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Schedule A - Populations, Samples, and Audit Adjustments	3
Schedule B - Effect of Audit Adjustments on Weighted FTE	5
Schedule C - Audit Adjustments by School	6
Schedule D - Findings and Audit Adjustments	7
Schedule E – Recommendations and Regulatory Citations	9
Schedule F – Summary of Management's Response	11
Notes to Schedules	12
STUDENT TRANSPORTATION	
Independent Auditor's Report	16
Schedule A - Populations, Samples, and Audit Adjustments	18
Schedule B - Findings and Audit Adjustments	19
Schedule C – Recommendations and Regulatory Citations	22
Schedule D – Summary of Management's Response	23
Notes to Schedules	24
MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE	26

Gulf County District School Board LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

IEP – Individual Educational Plan

ESE – Exceptional Student Education

LEP - Limited English Proficient

ESOL – English for Speakers of Other Languages

OJT - On-the-Job Training

IDEA - Individuals with Disabilities Education Act



AUDITOR GENERAL

AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF FLORIDA

G74 Claude Pepper Building 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



850/488-5534/SC 278-5534 Fax: 488-6975/SC 278-6975

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT GULF COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS

We have examined management's assertion, included in its representation letter dated November 29, 2006, that the Gulf County District School Board complied with the State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. These requirements are found primarily in Sections 1011.60, 1011.61, and 1011.62, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-1, Florida Administrative Code; and the FTE General Instructions issued by the Department of Education. As discussed in the representation letter, management is responsible for the District's compliance with State requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with the aforementioned State requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. The legal determination of the District's compliance with these requirements is, however, ultimately the responsibility of the Department of Education.

In our opinion, the Gulf County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements

governing the determination and reporting of the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students under the Florida

Education Finance Program (FEFP) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.

The results of our examination disclosed instances of noncompliance with the State requirements mentioned

above. We considered these instances of noncompliance in forming our opinion regarding management's

assertion and these items did not affect our opinion as stated above. All of the instances of noncompliance

disclosed by our examination procedures are discussed in SCHEDULE D. The impact of those instances of

noncompliance on the District's reported number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students is presented in

SCHEDULE A, SCHEDULE B, SCHEDULE C, and SCHEDULE D.

This report is intended for the information of the Legislative Auditing Committee, members of the Florida Senate

and the Florida House of Representatives, the State Board of Education, the Department of Education, and the

Gulf County District School Board. Copies of this report are available pursuant to Section 11.45(4)(c), Florida

Statutes, and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,

William O. Monroe, CPA

William O. Monroe

February 13, 2007

SCHEDULE A

Gulf County District School Board

FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS POPULATIONS, SAMPLES, AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

Description ¹	Number of Schools	% of <u>Pop.</u> (Number of Students w/Exceptions)	% of Pop. (Sample)	Number of \underline{Un} weighted \underline{FTE}^2	% of Pop. (Sample)
1. <u>Basic</u>	_	4000000	. • • •	100000		
Population ³	6	100.00%	1,304	100.00%	1,526.5700	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	4	66.67%	89	6.83%	78.6000	5.15%
Net Audit Adjustm	nents ⁵ -	-	(0)	(0.00%)	.7542	-
2. Exceptional - Basi	c with ESE S	Services				
Population ³	6	100.00%	472	100.00%	540.9900	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	4	66.67%	53	11.23%	48.9500	9.05%
Net Audit Adjustm	nents ⁵ -	-	(0)	(0.00%)	.5000	-
3. English for Speake	ers of Other	I anguages (ESOL)			
Population ³	2	100.00%	2	100.00%	1.0000	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	2	100.00%	2	100.00%	1.0000	100.00%
Net Audit Adjustm		-	(1)	(50.00%)	(.5534)	-
1 (00 110 010 110) 00 011	101100		(1)	(00.0070)	(leds l)	
4. Exceptional - ESE	* *					
Population ³	4	100.00%	18	100.00%	15.7000	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	3	75.00%	18	100.00%	15.2000	96.82%
Net Audit Adjustm	nents ⁵ -	-	(2)	(11.11%)	(.5000)	-
5. <u>Career Education</u>	9-12 On-the	-Job Trainin	g (OJT)			
Population ³	2	100.00%	2	100.00%	.9200	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	1	50.00%	2	100.00%	.9200	100.00%
Net Audit Adjustm	nents ⁵ -	-	(2)	(100.00%)	(.2008)	-
6. Career Education	9-12 (Excl. (OIT)				
Population ³	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	48.5400	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	.0000	0.00%
Net Audit Adjustm	nents ⁵ -	-	(0)	(0.00%)	.0000	-
All Programs						
Population ³	6	100.00%	1,798	100.00%	2,133.7200	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	4	66.67%	164	9.12%	144.6700	6.78%
Net Audit Adjustm	nents ⁵ -	-	(5)	(3.05%)	.0000	-

SCHEDULE A (Continued)

Gulf County District School Board

FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS POPULATIONS, SAMPLES, AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

Description ¹	Number of <u>Schools</u>	% of <u>Pop.</u>	Number of Teachers (w/Exceptions)	% of Pop. (Sample)	Number of $\underline{\text{Un}}$ weighted $\underline{\text{FTE}}^2$
Teacher Certification					
Population	6	100.00%	41	100.00%	-
Sample Size ⁴	4	66.67%	16	39.02%	-
Net Audit Adjustments ⁵	-	-	(0)	(0.00%)	<u>.0000</u>
Net Audit Adjustments					<u>.0000</u>

¹ See NOTE A6.

² Unweighted full-time equivalent (FTE) students represents FTE prior to the application of the applicable cost factor for each program. (See SCHEDULE B and NOTE A4.)

³ The population shown for the number of schools is the total number of schools in the District which offered the courses in the program specified (i.e., Basic, ESOL, ESE, and Career Education). The population shown for the number of students is the total number of students in each program at the schools in our sample. Our Career Education sample was limited to only those students who participated in OJT. The population shown for full-time equivalent (FTE) students is the total FTE for all of the District's schools (sample schools plus nonsample schools) as reported for each survey conducted for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The population shown for teachers is the total number of teachers at schools in our sample who taught courses in ESE or Career education or taught courses to LEP students. (See NOTE A5.)

⁴ See NOTE B.

⁵ Our audit adjustments generally reclassify reported FTE to Basic education for all exceptions except for those involving a student's attendance or enrollment (the audit adjustments for which take the reported FTE to zero).

SCHEDULE B

Gulf County District School Board

FULL-TIME EQUÍVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS EFFECT OF AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS ON WEIGHTED FTE

(For Illustrative Purposes Only)

No. Program ¹	Net Audit Adjustment ²	Cost <u>Factor</u>	Weighted <u>FTE</u> ³
101 Basic K-3	.5000	1.018	.5090
102 Basic 4-8	.0534	1.000	.0534
103 Basic 9-12	.2008	1.113	.2235
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	.5000	1.113	.5565
130 ESOL	(.5534)	1.318	(.7294)
254 ESE Support Level 4	.0000	3.818	.0000
255 ESE Support Level 5	(.5000)	5.190	(2.5950)
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(.2008)</u>	1.193	<u>(.2396</u>)
Total	<u>.0000</u>		<u>(2.2216</u>)

¹ See NOTE A6.

² These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See SCHEDULE C.)

³ Weighted FTE adjustments are presented for illustrative purposes only; they do not take special program caps or allocation factors into consideration and are not intended to indicate the FTE used to compute the dollar value of audit adjustments. That computation is the responsibility of the Department of Education. (See NOTE A4.)

SCHEDULE C

Gulf County District School Board

FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

Audit Adjustments1

No. Program	<u>#0022</u>	<u>#0051</u>	<u>#0061</u>	<u>Total</u>
101 Basic K-3	.5000			.5000
102 Basic 4-8		.0534		.0534
103 Basic 9-12			.2008	.2008
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services			.5000	.5000
130 ESOL	(.5000)	(.0534)		(.5534)
254 ESE Support Level 4		1.0000	(1.0000)	.0000
255 ESE Support Level 5		(1.0000)	.5000	(.5000)
300 Career Education 9-12	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>(.2008</u>)	<u>(.2008</u>)
Total	<u>.0000</u>	<u>.0000</u>	<u>.0000</u>	<u>.0000</u>

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

SCHEDULE D

Gulf County District School Board

FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

Overview

Management is responsible for determining and reporting the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) in compliance with State requirements. These requirements are found primarily in Sections 1011.60, 1011.61, and 1011.62, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-1, Florida Administrative Code; and the FTE General Instructions issued by the Department of Education. The Gulf County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of FTE for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. All of the instances of noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures are discussed below and require management's attention and action, as recommended on page 9.

Net Audit Adjustment (Unweighted FTE)

Findings

Our examination included the July and October 2005 surveys and the February and June 2006 surveys. (See NOTE A5.) Unless otherwise specifically stated, the findings and audit adjustments presented herein are for the October 2005 survey or the February 2006 survey or both. Accordingly, our findings do not mention specific surveys unless necessary for a complete understanding of the instances of noncompliance being disclosed.

Wewahitchka Elementary School (#0022)

1. [Ref. 2201] The file for one LEP student did not contain evidence that the student's parents had been notified of their child's placement in ESOL. We made the following audit adjustments:

101 Basic K-3 .5000 130 ESOL <u>(.5000)</u>

.0000

.0000

Port St. Joe Elementary School (#0051)

2. [Ref. 5101] One ESE student was not reported in accordance with the student's *Matrix of Services* form. We made the following audit adjustments:

254 ESE Support Level 4 1.0000 255 ESE Support Level 5 (1.0000) .0000

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Gulf County District School Board

FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

Net Audit
Adjustment
(Unweighted FTE)

Findings

Port St. Joe Elementary School (#0051) (Continued)

3. [Ref. 5102] <u>Our examination procedures included an automated comparison of the courses reported in ESOL to the courses designated for the program by the Department of Education. The results of this test disclosed that two courses (one each in the course schedules of two students) were reported incorrectly in ESOL. We made the following audit adjustments:</u>

 102 Basic 4-8
 .0534

 130 ESOL
 (.0534)

 .0000

.0000

Port St. Joe High School (#0061)

4. [Ref. 6101] One ESE student was not reported in accordance with the student's *Matrix of Services* form in the October survey, and a new *Matrix* form was not prepared when the student's ESE services were changed on January 17, 2006. We made the following audit adjustments:

113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	.5000	
254 ESE Support Level 4	(1.0000)	
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>.5000</u>	.0000

5. [Ref. 6102] The course schedules for two Career Education-OJT students were reported using an incorrect priority that funded the students' off-campus OJT courses prior to their on-campus instruction. We made the following audit adjustments:

 103 Basic 9-12
 .2008

 300 Career Education 9-12
 (.2008)
 .0000

.0000

.0000

SCHEDULE E

Gulf County District School Board FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS

RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

Recommendations

<u>We recommend</u> that management exercise more care and take corrective action, as appropriate, to ensure that:

(1) students are reported in the proper funding categories and have adequate documentation to support that reporting; and (2) on-campus instruction is reported with a higher funding priority than off-campus OJT.

The absence of statements in this report regarding practices and procedures followed by the District should not be construed as acceptance, approval, or endorsement of those practices and procedures. Additionally, the specific nature of this report does not limit or lessen the District's obligation to comply with all State requirements governing full-time equivalent (FTE) students and the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP).

Regulatory Citations

Career Education On-the-Job Attendance

Rule 6A-1.044(6)(c), F.A.C.Pupil Attendance Records

Reporting
Section 1011.60, F.SMinimum Requirements of Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP)
Section 1011.61, F.SDefinitions
Section 1011.62, F.SFunds for Operation of Schools
Rule 6A-1.0451, F.A.CFEFP Student Membership Surveys
Rule 6A-1.04513, F.A.CMaintaining Auditable FTE Records
FTE General Instructions 2005-2006
English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL)
Section 1003.56, F.SEnglish Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient Students
Section 1011.62(1)(g), F.SEducation for Speakers of Other Languages
Rule 6A-6.0901, F.A.CDefinitions Which Apply to Programs for Limited English Proficient Students
Rule 6A-6.0902, F.A.CRequirements for Identification, Assessment, and Programmatic Assessment of Limited English Proficient Students
Rule 6A-6.0904, F.A.CEqual Access to Appropriate Programming for Limited English Proficient Students

SCHEDULE E (Continued)

Gulf County District School Board

FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

Regulatory Citations (Continued)

Exceptional Education
Section 1003.57(5), F.SExceptional Students Instruction
Section 1011.62, F.SFunds for Operation of Schools
Section 1011.62(1)(e), F.SFunding Model for Exceptional Student Education Programs
Rule 6A-6.03028, F.A.CDevelopment of Individual Educational Plans for Students with Disabilities
Rule 6A-6.03029, F.A.CDevelopment of Family Support Plans for Children with Disabilities Ages Birth Through Five Years
Rule 6A-6.0312, F.A.CCourse Modification for Exceptional Students
Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.CIdentification and Determination of Eligibility of Exceptional Students to Specially Designed Instruction
Rule 6A-6.0334, F.A.CTemporary Assignment of Transferring Exceptional Students
Rule 6A-6.03411, F.A.CPolicies and Procedures for the Provision of Specially Designed Instruction and Related Services for Exceptional Students
Career Education On-the-Job Funding Hours
Rule 6A-6.055(3), F.A.CDefinitions of Terms Used in Vocational Education and Adult Programs
FTE General Instructions 2005-2006

SCHEDULE F

Gulf County District School Board

FULL-TIME EQUÍVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS SUMMARY OF MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

Management agreed with our findings and recommendations.

A copy of management's response may be found beginning on page 26 of this report.

Gulf County District School Board FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS NOTES TO SCHEDULES

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

NOTE A - SUMMARY

A summary discussion of the significant features of the District, the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP), full-time equivalent (FTE) students, and related areas follows:

1. School District of Gulf County

The District was established pursuant to Section 1001.30, Florida Statutes, to provide public educational services for the residents of Gulf County, Florida. Those services are provided primarily to students attending kindergarten through high school, but also to adults seeking career education-type training. The District is part of the State system of public education under the general direction and control of the State Board of Education. The geographic boundaries of the District are those of Gulf County. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, the District operated six schools, reported 2,133.72 unweighted full-time equivalent (FTE) students, and received approximately \$1.1 million in State funding under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) for those FTE. The primary sources of funding for the District are funds from FEFP, local ad valorem taxes, and Federal grants and donations.

2. Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP)

Florida school districts receive State funding through the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP), which was established by the Florida Legislature in 1973. It is the intent of the law "to guarantee to each student in the Florida public school system the availability of programs and services appropriate to his educational needs which are substantially equal to those available to any similar student notwithstanding geographic differences and varying local economic factors." To provide equalization of educational opportunity in Florida, the FEFP formula recognizes (1) varying local property tax bases, (2) varying program cost factors, (3) district cost differentials, and (4) differences in per student cost for equivalent educational programs due to sparsity and dispersion of student population.

Gulf County District School Board

FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS NOTES TO SCHEDULES

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

NOTE A - SUMMARY (Continued)

3. Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

The funding provided by FEFP is based upon the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. A numerical value is assigned to each student according to the student's hours and days of attendance in those programs. The individual student thus becomes equated to a numerical value known as an FTE (full-time equivalent) student. For example, for kindergarten through third grade, one FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 20 hours per week for 180 days; for grade levels four through twelve, one FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 25 hours per week for 180 days.

4. Calculation of FEFP Funds

The amount of State and local FEFP funds is calculated by the Department of Education by multiplying the number of unweighted full-time equivalent (FTE) students in each educational program by the specific cost factor of each program to obtain weighted FTEs. Weighted FTEs are multiplied by the base student allocation amount and that product is multiplied by the appropriate cost differential factor. Various adjustments are then added to this product to obtain the total State and local FEFP dollars. All cost factors, the base student allocation amount, cost differential factors, and various adjustment figures are established by the Florida Legislature.

5. <u>FTE Surveys</u>

FTE is determined and reported during the school year by means of four FTE membership surveys, which are conducted under the direction of district and school management. Each survey is a sampling of FTE membership for a period of one week. The surveys for the 2005-2006 school year were conducted during and for the following weeks: survey one was performed for July 11-15, 2005; survey two was performed for October 10-14, 2005; survey three was performed for February 6-10, 2006; and survey four was performed for June 12-16, 2006.

Gulf County District School Board FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS

NOTES TO SCHEDULES

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

NOTE A - SUMMARY (Continued)

6. Educational Programs

The Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) funds ten specific programs under which instruction may be provided as authorized by the Florida Legislature. The general program titles under which these specific programs fall are as follows: (1) Basic; (2) English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL); (3) Exceptional; and (4) Career Education (9-12).

7. Statutes and Rules

The following statutes and rules are of significance to the administration of Florida public education:

Chapter 1000, F.SK-20 General Provisions
Chapter 1001, F.SK-20 Governance
Chapter 1002, F.SStudent and Parental Rights and Educational Choices
Chapter 1003, F.SPublic K-12 Education
Chapter 1006, F.SSupport for Learning
Chapter 1007, F.SArticulation and Access
Chapter 1010, F.SFinancial Matters
Chapter 1011, F.SPlanning and Budgeting
Chapter 1012, F.SPersonnel
Chapter 6A-1, F.A.CFinance and Administration
Chapter 6A-4, F.A.CCertification
Chapter 6A-6, F.A.CSpecial Programs I

NOTE B - SAMPLING

Our examination procedures provided for the selection of samples of schools, students, and teachers, using statistical and judgmental methods, for testing FTE reported to the Department of Education for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. Our sampling process was designed to facilitate the performance of appropriate examination procedures to test the District's compliance with State requirements governing FTE and the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP). The following schools were in our sample:

Gulf County District School Board

FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS NOTES TO SCHEDULES

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

NOTE B - SAMPLING (Continued)

School Name/Description	Finding Number(s)
1. Wewahitchka Elementary School	1
2. Port St. Joe Elementary School	2 and 3
3. Port St. Joe High School	4 and 5
4. Wewahitchka High School	NA



WILLIAM O. MONROE, CPA

AUDITOR GENERAL

AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF FLORIDA

G74 Claude Pepper Building 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



850/488-5534/SC 278-5534 Fax: 488-6975/SC 278-6975

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT GULF COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

We have examined management's assertion, included in its representation letter dated November 29, 2006, that the Gulf County District School Board complied with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of students transported for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. These requirements are found primarily in Chapter 1006, Part I, E., and Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-3, Florida Administrative Code; and the *Student Transportation General Instructions* issued by the Department of Education. As discussed in the representation letter, management is responsible for the District's compliance with State requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with the aforementioned State requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. The legal determination of the District's compliance with these requirements is, however, ultimately the responsibility of the Department of Education.

Our examination procedures disclosed instances of material noncompliance involving the District's reported student ridership as follows: 80 of the 127 students in our sample had exceptions involving their reported ridership category or eligibility for ridership. (See SCHEDULE B, finding Nos. 2 and 3.)

In our opinion, except for the instances of material noncompliance mentioned above involving the classification

and reporting of transported students, the Gulf County District School Board complied, in all material respects,

with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of students transported for the

fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.

The results of our examination disclosed other instances of noncompliance with the aforementioned State

requirements, in addition to those of a material nature mentioned above. We considered these other instances of

noncompliance in forming our opinion regarding management's assertion and these items did not affect our

opinion as stated in the preceding paragraph. All of the instances of noncompliance disclosed by our examination

procedures are discussed in SCHEDULE B. The impact of those instances of noncompliance on the District's

reported number of transported students is presented in SCHEDULE A and SCHEDULE B.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we are required to report deficiencies in internal control that are

material to management's assertion. The instances of material noncompliance mentioned above, and identified by

finding number, are indicative of such deficiencies in the District's internal controls over the classification and

reporting of transported students. The relevant populations, samples, and exception totals that pertain to these

instances of noncompliance are presented in SCHEDULE A herein. We performed our examination to express

an opinion on the District's compliance with the FEFP requirements previously mentioned and not for the

purpose of expressing an opinion on the District's related internal controls; accordingly, we express no such

opinion.

This report is intended for the information of the Legislative Auditing Committee, members of the Florida Senate

and the Florida House of Representatives, the State Board of Education, the Department of Education, and the

Gulf County District School Board. Copies of this report are available pursuant to Section 11.45(4)(c), Florida

Statutes, and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,

William O. Monroe, CPA

William O. Momor

February 13, 2007

SCHEDULE A

Gulf County District School Board

STUDENT TRANSPORTATION POPULATIONS, SAMPLES, AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

<u>Description</u>	Number of <u>Vehicles</u>	% of <u>Pop.</u>	No. of Students <u>Transp.</u>	% of Pop. (Sample)
Population ¹ Sample ²	48 0	100.00% 0.00%	2,170 127	100.00% 5.85%
General Tests Students w/ Exceptions ³ Net Audit Adjustments	- -	- -	0	0.00%
<u>Detailed Tests</u> Students w/ Exceptions Net Audit Adjustments	- -	- -	80 (65)	(62.99%) (51.18%)
General and Detailed Tests Net Audit Adjustments	-	-	(65)	3.00%

¹ The population figures for students are the totals of the figures reported for each survey conducted for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The District reported 2,170 students in the following ridership categories: 43 in IDEA (K-12), Weighted; 39 in IDEA (K-12), Unweighted; and 2,088 in Two Miles or More. The District also reported operating a total of 48 vehicles (46 buses and 2 passenger cars). (IDEA stands for Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.)

² See NOTE B.

SCHEDULE B

Gulf County District School Board STUDENT TRANSPORTATION FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

Overview

Management is responsible for determining and reporting the number of students transported in compliance with State requirements. These requirements are found primarily in Chapter 1006, Part I, E., and Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-3, Florida Administrative Code; and the *Student Transportation General Instructions* issued by the Department of Education. Except for instances of material noncompliance involving the classification and reporting of transported students, as discussed in finding Nos. 2 and 3 below, the Gulf County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of students transported for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. All of the instances of noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures are discussed below and require management's attention and action, as recommended on page 22.

Students Transported Net Audit Adjustment

Findings

Our examination procedures included both general tests and detailed tests. Our general tests included inquiries concerning the District's transportation of students and verification that a bus driver's report existed for each bus reported in a survey. Our general tests disclosed the instances of noncompliance discussed in finding No. 1. Our detailed tests checked the accuracy of the reported ridership categories for students sampled from the July, October, February, and June surveys. Our detailed tests disclosed the instances of noncompliance discussed in finding Nos. 2 and 3.

General Tests

1. [Ref. 51] The number of buses in operation and the number of days-in-term were reported incorrectly in the June survey. The District reported four buses and 20 days-in-term, but there were actually two buses and two passenger vehicles, and 16 days-in-term. We also noted that a passenger van was incorrectly included in the reported bus count in the October and February surveys. We made the following audit adjustments:

October 2005 Survey
Buses in Operation (2) February 2006 Survey
Buses in Operation (1) -

SCHEDULE B (Continued)

Gulf County District School Board

STUDENT TRANSPORTATION FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

		Students Transported Net Audit
<u>Findings</u>		<u>Adjustment</u>
General Tests (Continued)		
June 2006 Survey Buses in Operation	(2)	
16 Days-in-Term IDEA (K-12), Weighted		3
20 Days-in-Term IDEA (K-12), Weighted		(3) <u>Q</u>
Net Audit Adjustments from General Tests		
Number of Students Transported		$\underline{\underline{0}}$
Buses in Operation	<u>(5)</u>	

Detailed Tests

2. [Ref. 52] <u>Seventy-five students (39 in October and 36 in February) were reported incorrectly in Two Miles or More.</u> All of the students lived less than two miles from schools. Ten of the students should have been reported in IDEA (K-12), <u>Unweighted</u>, and the remaining 65 students should not have been reported for State <u>transportation funding</u>. We also noted that 3 of these 65 students were not listed on the supporting bus drivers' reports for the surveys concerned. We made the following audit <u>adjustments</u>:

October 2005 Survey

90 Days-in-Term

Two Miles or More

IDEA (K-12), Unweighted Two Miles or More	6 (39)
February 2006 Survey	
90 Days-in-Term	
IDEA (K-12), Unweighted	4

<u>(36</u>)

(65)

SCHEDULE B (Continued)

Gulf County District School Board STUDENT TRANSPORTATION FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

Students Transported Net Audit Adjustment

Findings

Detailed Tests (Continued)

3. [Ref. 53] <u>Four ESE students were reported incorrectly in the IDEA (K-12)</u>, <u>Weighted. The students' IEPs indicated that they did not meet at least one of the five criteria required for classification in an IDEA weighted ridership category. We noted, however, that the students were eligible to be reported in IDEA (K-12), Unweighted. We made the following audit adjustments:</u>

October 2005 Survey

90 Days-in-Term	
IDEA (K-12), Weighted	(3)
IDEA (K-12), Unweighted	3

February 2006 Survey

90 Days-in-Term		
IDEA (K-12), Weighted	(2)	
IDEA (K-12), Unweighted	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>

Net Audit Adjustments from Detailed Tests

(65)

SCHEDULE C

Gulf County District School Board STUDENT TRANSPORTATION RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

Recommendations

We recommend that management exercise more care and take corrective action, as appropriate, to ensure that:
(1) the correct number of days-in-term and the correct number of buses are reported for each survey; (2) ESE students who receive special transportation services have their need for such services clearly specified on their IEPs; (3) the distance from home to school, for students classified in the Two Miles or More ridership category, is verified prior to being reported; and (4) only those students who ride a bus during the survey period are reported with each survey's results.

The absence of statements in this report regarding practices and procedures followed by the District should not be construed as acceptance, approval, or endorsement of those practices and procedures. Additionally, the specific nature of this report does not limit or lessen the District's obligation to comply with all State requirements governing student transportation.

Regulatory Citations

Chapter 1006, Part I, E., F.S.Transportation of Public K-12 Students Section 1011.68, F.S.Funds for Student Transportation
Chapter 6A-3, F.A.C.Transportation
Student Transportation General Instructions

SCHEDULE D

Gulf County District School Board STUDENT TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY OF MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

Management agreed with our findings and recommendations.

A copy of management's response may be found beginning on page 26 of this report.

-23-

Gulf County District School Board STUDENT TRANSPORTATION NOTES TO SCHEDULES

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

NOTE A - SUMMARY

A summary discussion of the significant features of student transportation and related areas follows:

1. Student Eligibility

Any student who is transported by bus must meet one or more of the following conditions in order to be eligible for State transportation funding: live two or more miles from school, be physically handicapped, be a Career Education or Exceptional student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or meet the criteria for hazardous walking specified in Section 1006.23(4), Florida Statutes.

2. Transportation in Gulf County

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, the District received approximately \$458,192 in State transportation funding. The District's transportation reporting by survey was as follows:

Survey	No. of	No. of
Period	<u>Vehicles</u>	<u>Students</u>
July 2005	0	0
October 2005	22	1,089
February 2006	22	1,070
June 2006	<u>4</u>	<u>11</u>
Total	<u>48</u>	2,17 0

3. Statutes and Rules

The following statutes and rules are of significance to the District's administration of student transportation:

Chapter 1006, Part I, E., F.S. Transportation of Public K-12 Students

Section 1011.68, F.S.Funds for Student Transportation

Chapter 6A-3, F.A.C.Transportation

Gulf County District School Board STUDENT TRANSPORTATION NOTES TO SCHEDULES

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

NOTE B - SAMPLING

Our examination procedures provided for the selection of samples of buses and students, using statistical and judgmental methods, for testing the number of students transported as reported to the Department of Education for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. Our sampling process was designed to facilitate the performance of appropriate examination procedures to test the District's compliance with State requirements governing students transported.

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE



TIM WILDER, SUPERINTENDENT

150 MIDDLE SCHOOL ROAD • PORT ST. JOE, FLORIDA 32456 • PHONE: (850) 229-8256 or (850) 639-2871 • FAX (850) 229-6089

March 2, 2007

Mr. William O. Monroe, CPA Auditor General for the State of Florida G74 Claude Pepper Building 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1450

Dear Mr. Monroe:

Please find below our responses to the Preliminary and Tentative Audit Findings and Recommendations for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006, for the Gulf County School District.

FTE Student Findings and Audit Adjustments

Finding #1

Response: The LEP student's file will include the paperwork documenting parental

notification and permission for the student to be placed in the ESOL

Program.

Finding #2

Response: The student formerly coded as 255-ESE Support Level 5 was changed in

accordance with the Matrix of Services to a 254-ESE Support Level 4.

Finding #3

Response: The courses reported as designated for the ESOL Program were changed

to 102-Basic 4-8.

Finding #4

Response: The student coded as 254-ESE Support Level 4 was changed to 255-ESE

Support Level 5. A new Matrix of Services form was prepared when the student's ESE services were changed and the student was coded 113-

Grades 9-12 with ESE Services.

Finding #5

Response: The Career Education-OJT students are flagged on the student system to

ensure that the funding priority reflects the 103-Basic 9-12 category is funded first and the 300-Career Education 9-12 is funded second.

Tomorrow's Future Begins In Today's Classrooms

DANNY LITTLE

GEORGE M. COX

LINDA ROBERTS WOOD DISTRICT 3 BILLY C. QUINN, JR.

JOHN W. WRIGHT DISTRICT 5



TIM WILDER, SUPERINTENDENT

150 MIDDLE SCHOOL ROAD • PORT ST. JOE, FLORIDA 32456 • PHONE: (850) 229-8256 or (850) 639-2871 • FAX (850) 229-6089

Student Transportation Findings and Audit Adjustments

Finding #1

Response: Since the June Transportation calculations are for out-of-county students

only, the number of days in term will be reported based on the length of term of the county providing services. The student transportation system will be used to code vehicles as "E" for passenger vehicles and "B" for

buses.

Finding #2

Response: The students living within the two (2) limit will not be reported for FTE.

A printout of student addresses will be printed and filed during each FTE period for future audit reference in the event that students move between audit periods. Students will be correctly coded as weighted or unweighted and bus driver reports will accurately reflect the names of students

reported for FTE funding.

Finding #3

Response: The student IEPs will be corrected to reflect their unweighted status and

all future transportation issues addressed on student IEPs will be checked

to insure accuracy in the funding weight.

Please do not hesitate to contact my office if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely

Tim Wilder Superintendent

Tomorrow's Future Begins In Today's Classrooms

DANNY LITTLE DISTRICT 1 GEORGE M. COX DISTRICT 2 LINDA ROBERTS WOOD DISTRICT 3 BILLY C. QUINN, JR.

JOHN W. WRIGHT