

COLUMBIA COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

FLORIDA EDUCATION FINANCE PROGRAM (FEFP) FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS

AND

STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

	District	
	<u>No.</u>	
Linard Johnson	1	
Charles Maxwell, Chair	2	
Steve Nelson, Vice-Chair	3	
Keith Hudson	4	
Glenn Hunter	5	

This examination was conducted by Alex Riggins, CPA, and was supervised by J. David Hughes, CPA. Please address inquiries regarding this report to Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager, via e-mail at joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us or by telephone at (850) 414-9941.

This report, as well as other audit reports prepared by the Auditor General, can be obtained on our Web site (<u>http://www.myflorida.com/audgen</u>); by telephone at (850) 487-9024; or by mail at G74 Claude Pepper Building, 111 West Madison Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450.

PAGE NO.

Columbia County District School Board Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students and Student Transportation **TABLE OF CONTENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS Independent Auditor's Report......1 Schedule D - Findings and Audit Adjustments9 STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE	
Notes to Schedules	
Schedule D – Summary of Management's Response	

Columbia County District School Board Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students and Student Transportation LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

IEP – Individual Educational Plan

ESE – Exceptional Student Education

LEP - Limited English Proficient

ESOL – English for Speakers of Other Languages

PK – Prekindergarten

OJT – On-the-Job Training

IDEA - Individuals with Disabilities Education Act



DAVID W. MARTIN, CPA AUDITOR GENERAL AUDITOR GENERAL

STATE OF FLORIDA G74 Claude Pepper Building 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT COLUMBIA COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS

We have examined management's assertion, included in its representation letter dated July 18, 2007, that the Columbia County District School Board complied with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. These requirements are found primarily in Sections 1011.60, 1011.61, and 1011.62, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-1, Florida Administrative Code; and the *FTE General Instructions* issued by the Department of Education. As discussed in the representation letter, management is responsible for the District's compliance with State requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with the aforementioned State requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. The legal determination of the District's compliance with these requirements is, however, ultimately the responsibility of the Department of Education.

Compliance

Our examination procedures disclosed the following material noncompliance:

1. Teachers

Twelve of the 62 teachers in our sample did not meet State requirements governing certification; School Board approval of out-of-field teacher assignments; or notification of parents regarding out-of-field teachers.¹

2. Students

We noted exceptions involving 14 of the 52 students in our sample for ESOL²; and 7 of the 48 students in our sample for ESE Support Levels 4 and 5³. These exceptions involved reporting errors or records that were not properly and accurately prepared or were missing and could not be located.

In our opinion, except for the material noncompliance mentioned above involving teachers, the reporting of, and preparation and maintenance of supporting documentation for, students in ESOL and ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, the Columbia County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

The results of our examination disclosed other noncompliance with the aforementioned State requirements, in addition to the material noncompliance mentioned above. We considered this other noncompliance in forming our opinion regarding management's assertion and it did not affect our opinion as stated above. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed in SCHEDULE D. The impact of this noncompliance on the District's reported FTE students is presented in SCHEDULE A, SCHEDULE B, SCHEDULE C, and SCHEDULE D.

¹ For teachers, see SCHEDULE D, finding Nos. 1, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 21, and 22.

² For ESOL, see SCHEDULE D, finding Nos. 2, 9, 15, and 16.

³ For ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, see SCHEDULE D, finding Nos. 4, 5, 10, 17, 24, and 26.

Internal Control Over Compliance

In accordance with attestation standards established by the AICPA and *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report significant deficiencies in internal control detected during our examination and identify those considered to be material weaknesses. The purpose of our examination was to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements and did not include expressing an opinion on the District's related internal controls. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Due to its limited purpose, our examination would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses.⁴ However, the material noncompliance mentioned above is indicative of significant deficiencies and the reporting of, and the preparation and maintenance of supporting documentation for, students in ESOL and ESE Support Levels 4 and 5. Other noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is indicative of control deficiencies⁴, and is also presented herein. The findings, populations, samples, and exception totals that pertain to material and other noncompliance are presented in SCHEDULE A and SCHEDULE D.

The District's written response to this examination has not been subjected to our examination procedures and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended for the information of the Legislative Auditing Committee, members of the Florida Senate and the Florida House of Representatives, the State Board of Education, the Department of Education, and the Columbia County District School Board. Copies of this report are available pursuant to Section 11.45(4)(c), Florida Statutes, and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,

Q. W. Martes

David W. Martin, CPA May 12, 2008

⁴<u>A control deficiency</u> in the entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance on a timely basis. <u>A significant deficiency</u> is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to comply with the aforementioned State requirements such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. <u>A material weakness</u> is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in a more than remote likelihood that material noncompliance will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

SCHEDULE A

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **POPULATIONS, SAMPLES, AND TEST RESULTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Description ¹	Number of <u>Schools</u>	% of <u>Pop.</u>	Number of Students (w/Exceptions)	% of Pop. <u>(Sample)</u>	Number of <u>Un</u> weighted <u>FTE</u> ²	% of Pop. <u>(Sample)</u>
1. <u>Basic</u>						
Population ³	14	100.00%	7,433	100.00%	7,670.0600	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	10	71.43%	114	1.53%	101.8435	1.33%
Students w/Excep		-	(0)	(0.00%)	-	-
Net Audit Adjust		-	-	-	15.3271	-
2. Basic with ESE S	ervices					
Population ³	13	100.00%	1,843	100.00%	1,999.5200	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	10	76.92%	90	4.88%	76.2750	3.81%
Students w/Excep	ptions -	-	(3)	(3.33%)	-	-
Net Audit Adjusti		-	-	-	2.0000	-
3. <u>ESOL</u>						
Population ³	8	100.00%	61	100.00%	49.7100	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	6	75.00%	52	85.25%	37.4300	75.30%
Students w/Excep	ptions -	-	(14)	(26.92%)	-	-
Net Audit Adjustr	ments ⁵ -	-	-	-	(10.4852)	-
4. <u>ESE Support Lev</u>	vels 4 and 5					
Population ³	11	100.00%	55	100.00%	54.8400	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	9	81.82%	48	87.27%	39.6534	72.31%
Students w/Excep	ptions -	-	(7)	(14.58%)	-	-
Net Audit Adjust	ments ⁵ -	-	_	-	(4.7987)	-
5. <u>Career Education</u>	<u>19-12</u>					
Population ³	3	100.00%	143	100.00%	314.9200	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	3	100.00%	69	48.25%	29.6293	9.41%
Students w/Excep		-	(7)	(10.14%)	-	-
Net Audit Adjust	ments ⁵ -	-	_	-	(3.5964)	-
All Programs						
	14	100.00%	9,535	100.00%	10,089.0500	100.00%
	10	71.43%	373	3.91%	284.8312	2.82%
	ptions -	-	(31)	(8.31%)	-	-
Net Audit Adjusti		-	-	-	(1.5532)	-
 Net Audit Adjusti 3. ESOL Population³ Sample Size⁴ Students w/Excep Net Audit Adjusti 4. ESE Support Lev Population³ Sample Size⁴ Students w/Excep Net Audit Adjusti 5. Career Education Population³ Sample Size⁴ Students w/Excep Net Audit Adjusti All Programs Population³ Sample Size⁴ Students w/Excep 	$\frac{8}{6}$ ptions - ments ⁵ - $\frac{7284 \text{ and } 5}{11}$ ptions - ments ⁵ - $\frac{19-12}{3}$ ptions - ments ⁵ - $\frac{19}{12}$ $\frac{14}{10}$ ptions -	- 100.00% 75.00% - 100.00% 81.82% - 100.00% 100.00% - 100.00%	$ \begin{array}{c} 61\\ 52\\ (14)\\ -\\ 55\\ 48\\ (7)\\ -\\ 143\\ 69\\ (7)\\ -\\ 9,535\\ 373\\ (31) \end{array} $	100.00% 85.25% (26.92%) - 100.00% 87.27% (14.58%) - 100.00% 48.25% (10.14%) - 100.00% 3.91%	2.0000 49.7100 37.4300 (10.4852) 54.8400 39.6534 (4.7987) 314.9200 29.6293 (3.5964) 10,089.0500 284.8312 -	- 100.00% 75.30% - 100.00% 72.31% - 100.00% 9.41% - - 100.00%

SCHEDULE A (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students POPULATIONS, SAMPLES, AND TEST RESULTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Description ¹	Number of <u>Schools</u>	% of <u>Pop.</u>	Number of Teachers <u>(w/Exceptions)</u>	% of Pop. <u>(Sample)</u>
<u>Teachers</u> Population ³ Sample Size ⁴	14 10	100.00% 71.43%	62	100.00% 39.24%
Teachers w/Exceptions	-	-	(12)	(19.35%)

¹ See NOTE A6.

³ The population shown for the number of schools is the total number of schools in the District which offered the courses in the program specified (i.e., Basic, ESOL, ESE, and Career Education). The population shown for the number of students is the total number of students in each program at the schools in our sample. Our Career Education sample was limited to those students who participated in OJT. The population shown for full-time equivalent (FTE) students is the total FTE for all of the District's schools (sample schools plus nonsample schools) as reported for each survey conducted for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The population shown for teachers is the total number of teachers at schools in our sample who taught courses in ESE or Career Education or taught courses to LEP students. (See NOTE A5.)

⁴ See NOTE B.

² Unweighted full-time equivalent (FTE) students represents FTE prior to the application of the applicable cost factor for each program. (See SCHEDULE B and NOTE A4.)

⁵ Our audit adjustments present the net effects of noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures, including those related to our tests of teacher certification. Our audit adjustments generally reclassify reported FTE to Basic education, except for noncompliance involving a student's enrollment or attendance, in which case the reported FTE is taken to zero.

SCHEDULE B

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students EFFECT OF AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS ON WEIGHTED FTE (For Illustrative Purposes Only)

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

No. Program ¹	Net Audit <u>Adjustment</u> ²	Cost <u>Factor</u>	Weighted <u>FTE³</u>
101 Basic K-3	7.0000	1.035	7.2450
102 Basic 4-8	4.5000	1.000	4.5000
103 Basic 9-12	3.8271	1.088	4.1639
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services	2.5000	1.035	2.5875
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	(1.0000)	1.000	(1.0000)
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	.5000	1.088	.5440
130 ESOL	(10.4852)	1.275	(13.3686)
254 ESE Support Level 4	(3.5000)	3.734	(13.0690)
255 ESE Support Level 5	(1.2987)	5.201	(6.7545)
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(3.5964</u>)	1.159	<u>(4.1682</u>)
Total	<u>(1.5532</u>)		<u>(19.3199</u>)

¹ See NOTE A6.

² These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See SCHEDULE C.)

³ Weighted FTE adjustments are presented for illustrative purposes only; they do not take special program caps or allocation factors into consideration and are not intended to indicate the FTE used to compute the dollar value of audit adjustments. That computation is the responsibility of the Department of Education. (See NOTE A4.)

SCHEDULE C

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

		<u>Audit Adj</u>	ustments ¹	Balance
<u>No.</u> Program	<u>#0011</u>	<u>#0091</u>	<u>#0123</u>	Forward
101 Basic K-3		1.0000		1.0000
102 Basic 4-8		1.0000		1.0000
103 Basic 9-12	2.8680		.0751	2.9431
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services		1.0000		1.0000
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services				.0000
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	.5000			.5000
130 ESOL	(.4852)	(2.0000)		(2.4852)
254 ESE Support Level 4	(1.0000)	(1.0000)		(2.0000)
255 ESE Support Level 5	(.7987)			(.7987)
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(2.6207</u>)	<u></u>	<u>(.0917</u>)	<u>(2.7124</u>)
Total	<u>(1.5366</u>)	<u>.0000</u>	<u>(.0166</u>)	<u>(1.5532</u>)

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

SCHEDULE C (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Audit Adjustments1 Program Brought Forward <u>#0141</u> #0241 #0271 <u>No.</u> #0221 <u>Total</u> 101 6.0000 1.0000 7.00005000 102 1.0000 2.0000 1.0000 4.5000 103 2.9431 3.8271 .8840 111 1.0000 .5000 2.5000 1.0000 112 .0000 (.5000)(.5000)(1.0000). 113 .5000 .5000 130 (2.4852)(8.0000)(10.4852)..... 254 (2.0000)(.5000)(1.0000)(3.5000)..... 255 (.7987)(.5000)(1.2987)..... 300 <u>(2.7124</u>) <u>(.8840</u>) <u>(3.5964</u>) <u>....</u> <u>....</u> <u>....</u> .0000 Total (1.5532) .0000 .0000 .0000 <u>(1.5532</u>)

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

SCHEDULE D

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Figeal Very Ended June 30, 2007

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Overview

Management is responsible for determining and reporting the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) in compliance with State requirements. These requirements are found primarily in Sections 1011.60, 1011.61, and 1011.62, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-1, Florida Administrative Code; and the *FTE General Instructions* issued by the Department of Education. Except for material noncompliance involving teachers and the reporting of, and the preparation and maintenance of supporting documentation for students in ESOL, and ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, the Columbia County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of FTE for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed below and requires management's attention and action, as recommended on page 17.

Findings

Our examination included the July and October 2006 surveys and the February and June 2007 surveys (see NOTE A5). Unless otherwise specifically stated, the findings and audit adjustments presented herein are for the October 2006 survey or the February 2007 survey or both. Accordingly, our findings do not mention specific surveys unless necessary for a complete understanding of the instances of noncompliance being disclosed.

Columbia High School (#0011)

1. [Ref. 1173] <u>One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by the</u> <u>School Board to teach ESE out-of-field.</u> We also noted that the parents of the ESE <u>student concerned were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status.</u> Since the <u>student in question is cited in finding No. 4 (Ref. 1103), no audit adjustment was made</u> <u>here</u>.

2. [Ref. 1101] <u>Two LEP students were beyond the maximum six-year period</u> allowed for State funding of ESOL. We made the following audit adjustment:

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>

^{.0000}

<u>SCHEDULE D</u> (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Columbia High School (#0011) (Continued)	
103 Basic 9-12 .3318 130 ESOL (.3318)	.0000
3. [Ref. 1102] The file for one ESE student did not contain an IEP covering the	
reporting surveys. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 1.0000 113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services (1.0000)	.0000
4. [Ref. 1103] Two ESE students were not reported in accordance with their	
Matrix of Services forms. We made the following audit adjustment:	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services 1.5000 254 ESE Support Level 4 (1.0000) 255 ESE Support Level 5 (.5000)	.0000
5. [Ref. 1104] We noted the following exceptions involving one ESE student who	
received on-campus instruction and intermittent homebound instruction: (a) the	
Physician's Statement was missing and could not be located; (b) one on-campus course was	
reported incorrectly in program No. 255 (ESE Support Level 5); and (c) the FTE for	
the student's dual-enrolled, on-campus courses in the October survey, was incorrectly	
computed based on actual class time. The FTE should have been based on 75 hours of	
instruction, or .0834 FTE per course, pursuant to Section 1011.62 (1) (i), Florida	
Statutes, and the FTE General Instructions. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .1087 255 ESE Support Level 5 (.2987) 300 Career Education 9-12 .1534	(.0366)

<u>SCHEDULE D</u> (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Findings	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Columbia High School (#0011) (Continued)	
6. [Ref. 1105] <u>Three Career Education students had withdrawn from school prior</u> to the reporting surveys and should not have been reported with surveys' results. We made the following audit adjustment:	
300 Career Education 9-12 (1.5000)	(1.5000)
7. [Ref. 1171] <u>One teacher taught English to a class that included one LEP</u> student, but was not properly certified to teach LEP students, and was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field. We also noted that the parents of the LEP students concerned were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .1534 130 ESOL (.1534)	.0000
8. [Ref. 1172] <u>One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by the</u> School Board to teach Agri-Technology out-of-field. We also noted that the parents of the students concerned were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We made	
the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 1.2741 300 Career Education 9-12 (1.2741)	<u>.0000</u> (1.5366)
Five Points Elementary School (#0091)	
9. [Ref. 9101] The LEP Student Plans for three students were not reviewed and updated for the 2006-07 school year. We made the following audit adjustment: 101 Basic K-3 1.0000 102 Basic 4-8 1.0000	
130 ESOL (2.0000)	.0000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

-11-

<u>SCHEDULE D</u> (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Findings	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Five Points Elementary School (#0091) (Continued)	
10. [Ref. 9102] One ESE student was not reported in accordance with the student's	
Matrix of Services form. We made the following audit adjustment:	
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services1.0000254 ESE Support Level 4(1.0000)	<u>.0000</u>
	.0000
Fort White High School (#0123)	
11. [Ref. 12301] The FTE for the dual-enrolled courses taken by one student in our	
Career Education sample was incorrectly computed based on actual class time. The	
FTE should have been based on 75 hours of instruction, or .0834 FTE per course,	
pursuant to Section 1011.62 (1) (i), Florida Statutes, and the FTE General Instructions. We	
made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 (.0166)	(.0166)
12. [Ref. 12371] One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by	
the School Board to teach a course called Dental Aide out-of-field. We also noted that	
the parents of the students concerned were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field	
status. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .0917 300 Career Education 9-12 (.0917)	<u>.0000</u>
	<u>(.0166</u>)

<u>SCHEDULE D</u> (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

.0000

Summers Elementary School (#0141)

[Ref. 14173] One teacher taught Primary Language Arts to a class that included 13. one LEP student, but was not properly certified to teach LEP students and was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field. We also noted that the parents of the LEP student concerned were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. Since the student in question is cited in finding No. 15 (Ref. 14101), no audit adjustment was made here.

14. [Ref. 14175] One teacher taught Primary Language Arts to a class that included	
one LEP student, but was not properly certified to teach LEP students and was not	
approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field until after the October	
survey. We also noted that the parents of the LEP student concerned were not notified	
of the teacher's out-of-field status until after the October survey. Since the student in	
question is cited in finding No. 15 (Ref. 14101), no audit adjustment was made here.	
	.0000
15. [Ref. 14101] The LEP Student Plans for eight students in ESOL were not	
reviewed and updated for the 2006-07 school year until after the October survey. We	
made the following audit adjustment:	
101 Basic K-3 4.0000	
130 ESOL (4.0000)	.0000
16. [Ref. 14102] The file for one LEP student did not contain a valid LEP Student	
Plan that was in effect during the reporting survey. We made the following audit	
adjustment:	
101 Basic K-3 .5000	
130 ESOL (.5000)	.0000

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Finand Yoar Ended June 30, 2007

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Findings	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Summers Elementary School (#0141) (Continued)	
17. [Ref. 14103] One ESE student was not reported in accordance with the	
student's Matrix of Services form. We made the following audit adjustment:	
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services.5000254 ESE Support Level 4(.5000)	.0000
18. [Ref. 14171/74/76] Three teachers taught Primary Language Arts to classes that	
included LEP students, but were not properly certified to teach LEP students and were	
not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field. We also noted	
that the parents of the LEP students concerned were not notified of the teachers' out-	
of-field status. We made the following audit adjustments:	
Ref. 14171 102 Basic 4-8 1.0000 130 ESOL (1.0000)	.0000
Ref. 14174 101 Basic K-3 .5000 130 ESOL (.5000)	.0000
Ref. 14176102 Basic 4-81.0000130 ESOL(1.0000)	.0000
19. [Ref. 14172] One teacher taught Primary Language Arts to a class that included	
two LEP students, but was not properly certified to teach LEP students and was not	
approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field until after the October	
survey. We also noted that the parents of the LEP students concerned were not notified	
of the teacher's out-of-field status until after the October survey. We made the	
following audit adjustment:	
101 Basic K-3 1.0000 130 ESOL (1.0000)	<u>.0000</u> .0000

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Challenge Learning Center (#0221)	
20. [Ref. 22101] The course schedules for three Career Education students in OJT	
were reported using an incorrect priority that funded the students' off-campus OJT	
courses prior to their on-campus courses. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .0340 300 Career Education 9-12 (.0340)	<u>.0000</u>
21. [Ref. 22171] One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by	
the School Board to teach Cooperative Diversified Training out-of-field. We also noted	
that the parents of the student concerned were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field	
status. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .8500 300 Career Education 9-12 (.8500)	.0000
	<u>.0000</u>
Lake City Middle School (#0241)	
22. [Ref. 24171] One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by	
the School Board to teach Chorus out-of-field. We also noted that the parents of the	
students concerned were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. Since the	
student in question is cited in finding No. 24 (Ref. 24102), no audit adjustment was	
<u>made here</u> .	
	.0000
23. [Ref. 24101] The file for one ESE student did not contain an IEP that was valid	
for the reporting survey. We made the following audit adjustment:	
102 Basic 4-8 .5000 112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services (.5000)	.0000

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Findings	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Lake City Middle School (#0241) (Continued)	
24. [Ref. 24102] One ESE student was reported incorrectly in program No. 255	
(ESE Support Level 5) based on placement in the Hospital and Homebound program.	
However, the student received only on-campus instruction during the reporting survey	
and should have been reported in program No. 102 (Basic 4-8). We made the following	
audit adjustment:	
102 Basic 4-8 .5000 255 ESE Support Level 5 (.5000)	<u>.0000</u>
	<u>.0000</u>
Westside Elementary School (#0271)	
25. [Ref. 27101] The file for one ESE student did not contain an IEP covering the	
reporting survey. We made the following audit adjustment:	
102 Basic 4-8 .5000 112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services (.5000)	.0000
26. [Ref. 27102] One ESE student was not reported in accordance with the	
student's Matrix of Services form. We made the following audit adjustment:	
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services1.0000254 ESE Support Level 4(1.0000)	<u>.0000</u>
	.0000
	<u>(1.5532</u>)

SCHEDULE E

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS**

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Recommendations

We recommend that management exercise more care and take corrective action, as appropriate, to ensure that: (1) FTE is correctly calculated and reported, (2) only eligible students in a particular survey are reported for FEFP funding; (3) students are reported in the proper funding categories and have adequate documentation to support that reporting, particularly with regard to students in ESOL; (4) ESE students in ESE Support Levels 4 and 5 are reported in accordance with their Matrix of Services forms; (5) teachers are either properly certified or, if out-offield, are approved by the School Board to teach out-of-field; and (6) parents are appropriately notified when their children are assigned to out-of-field teachers.

The absence of statements in this report regarding practices and procedures followed by the District should not be construed as acceptance, approval, or endorsement of those practices and procedures. Additionally, the specific nature of this report does not limit or lessen the District's obligation to comply with all State requirements governing FTE and FEFP.

Regulatory Citations

Reporting

Section 1011.60, F.S	Minimum Requirements of the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP)
Section 1011.61, F.S	Definitions
Section 1011.62, F.S	Funds for Operation of Schools
Rule 6A-1.0451, F.A.C	FEFP Student Membership Surveys
Rule 6A-1.04513, F.A.C	Maintaining Auditable FTE Records
FTE General Instructions 2006-200	7

Attendance

Section 1003.23, F.S.Attendance Records and Reports Rules 6A-1.044(3)&(6)(c), F.A.C. ...Pupil Attendance Records FTE General Instructions 2006-2007 Comprehensive Management Information System: Automated Student Attendance Recordkeeping System

<u>SCHEDULE E</u> (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Regulatory Citations (Continued)

English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL)
Section 1003.56, F.SEnglish Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient Students
Section 1011.62(1)(g), F.SEducation for Speakers of Other Languages
Rule 6A-6.0901, F.A.CDefinitions Which Apply to Programs for Limited English Proficient Student
Rule 6A-6.0902, F.A.C
Rule 6A-6.0904, F.A.CEqual Access to Appropriate Programming for Limited English Proficient Students
Career Education On-the-Job Attendance
Rule 6A-1.044(6)(c), F.A.C
Exceptional Education
Section 1003.57, F.S Exceptional Students Instruction
Section 1011.62, F.S
Section 1011.62(1)(e), F.SFunding Model for Exceptional Student Education Programs
Rule 6A-6.03028, F.A.C Development of Individual Educational Plans for Students with Disabilities
Rule 6A-6.03029, F.A.C
Rule 6A-6.0312, F.A.CCourse Modifications for Exceptional Students
Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.CIdentification and Determination of Eligibility of Exceptional Students for Specially Designed Instruction
Rule 6A-6.0334, F.A.C
Rule 6A-6.03411, F.A.C
Career Education On-the-Job Funding Hours

Rule 6A-6.055(3), F.A.C. Definitions of Terms Used in Vocational Education and Adult Programs FTE General Instructions 2006-2007

<u>SCHEDULE E</u> (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS**

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Regulatory Citations (Continued)

Teacher Certification
Section 1003.56, F.SEnglish Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient Students
Section 1011.62(1)(g), F.SEducation for Speakers of Other Languages
Section 1012.42(2), F.STeacher Teaching Out-of-Field; Notification Requirements
Section 1012.55, F.SPositions for Which Certificates Required
Rule 6A-1.0502, F.A.CNon-certificated Instructional Personnel
Rule 6A-1.0503, F.A.CDefinition of Qualified Instructional Personnel
Rule 6A-4.001, F.A.CInstructional Personnel Certification
Rule 6A-6.0907, F.A.CInservice Requirements for Personnel of Limited English Proficient Students

SCHEDULE F

Columbia County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **SUMMARY OF MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Management agreed with our findings and recommendations.

A copy of management's response may be found on page 36 of this report.

NOTE A - SUMMARY

A summary discussion of the significant features of the District, FEFP, FTE, and related areas follows:

1. <u>School District of Columbia County</u>

The District was established pursuant to Section 1001.30, Florida Statutes, to provide public educational services for the residents of Columbia County, Florida. Those services are provided primarily to students attending kindergarten through high school, but also to adults seeking career education-type training. The District is part of the State system of public education under the general direction and control of the State Board of Education. The geographic boundaries of the District are those of Columbia County. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, the District operated 14 schools, reported 10,089.05 unweighted FTE, and received approximately \$42 million in State funding for those FTE. The primary sources of funding for the District are funds from FEFP, local ad valorem taxes, and Federal grants and donations.

2. Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP)

Florida school districts receive State funding through FEFP, which was established by the Florida Legislature in 1973. It is the intent of the law "to guarantee to each student in the Florida public school system the availability of programs and services appropriate to his educational needs which are substantially equal to those available to any similar student notwithstanding geographic differences and varying local economic factors." To provide equalization of educational opportunity in Florida, the FEFP formula recognizes (1) varying local property tax bases, (2) varying program cost factors, (3) district cost differentials, and (4) differences in per student cost for equivalent educational programs due to sparsity and dispersion of student population.

NOTE A - SUMMARY (Continued)

3. Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

The funding provided by FEFP is based upon the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. A numerical value is assigned to each student according to the student's hours and days of attendance in those programs. The individual student thus becomes equated to a numerical value known as an FTE. For example, for kindergarten through third grade, one FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 20 hours per week for 180 days; for grade levels four through twelve, one FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 20 hours per week for a group of programs for 25 hours per week for 180 days.

4. <u>Calculation of FEFP Funds</u>

The amount of State and local FEFP funds is calculated by the Department of Education by multiplying the number of unweighted FTE students in each educational program by the specific cost factor of each program to obtain weighted FTEs. Weighted FTEs are multiplied by the base student allocation amount and that product is multiplied by the appropriate cost differential factor. Various adjustments are then added to this product to obtain the total State and local FEFP dollars. All cost factors, the base student allocation amount, cost differential factors, and various adjustment figures are established by the Florida Legislature.

5. <u>FTE Surveys</u>

FTE is determined and reported during the school year by means of four FTE membership surveys, which are conducted under the direction of district and school management. Each survey is a sampling of FTE membership for a period of one week. The surveys for the 2006-2007 school year were conducted during and for the following weeks: survey one was performed for July 10-14, 2006; survey two was performed for October 9-13, 2006; survey three was performed for February 5-9, 2007; and survey four was performed for June 11-15, 2007.

NOTE A - SUMMARY (Continued)

6. Educational Programs

FEFP funds ten specific programs under which instruction may be provided as authorized by the Florida Legislature. The general program titles under which these specific programs fall are as follows: (1) Basic; (2) English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL); (3) Exceptional; and (4) Career Education (9-12).

7. <u>Statutes and Rules</u>

The following statutes and rules are of significance to the administration of Florida public education:

Chapter 1000, F.SK-20 General Provisions
Chapter 1001, F.SK-20 Governance
Chapter 1002, F.SStudent and Parental Rights and Educational Choices
Chapter 1003, F.SPublic K-12 Education
Chapter 1006, F.SSupport for Learning
Chapter 1007, F.SArticulation and Access
Chapter 1010, F.SFinancial Matters
Chapter 1011, F.SPlanning and Budgeting
Chapter 1012, F.SPersonnel
Chapter 6A-1, F.A.CFinance and Administration
Chapter 6A-4, F.A.CCertification
Chapter 6A-6, F.A.CSpecial Programs I

NOTE B - SAMPLING

Our examination procedures provided for the selection of samples of schools, students, and teachers, using statistical and judgmental methods, for testing FTE reported to the Department of Education for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. Our sampling process was designed to facilitate the performance of appropriate examination procedures to test the District's compliance with State requirements governing FTE and FEFP.

NOTE B - SAMPLING (Continued)

The following schools were in our sample:

School Name/Description	Finding Number(s)
1. Columbia High School	1 through 8
2. Richardson Middle School	NA
3. Five Points Elementary School	9 and 10
4. Fort White Elementary School	NA
5. Fort White High School	11 and 12
6. Summers Elementary School	13 through 19
7. Challenge Learning Center	20 and 21
8. Lake City Middle School	22 through 24
9. Columbia City Elementary School	NA
10. Westside Elementary School	25 and 26

-24-



DAVID W. MARTIN, CPA AUDITOR GENERAL AUDITOR GENERAL

STATE OF FLORIDA G74 Claude Pepper Building

111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



850/488-5534 Fax: 488-6975

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT COLUMBIA COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

We have examined management's assertion, included in its representation letter dated July 18, 2007, that the Columbia County District School Board complied with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of students transported for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. These requirements are found primarily in Chapter 1006, Part I, E., and Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-3, Florida Administrative Code; and the *Student Transportation General Instructions* issued by the Department of Education. As discussed in the representation letter, management is responsible for the District's compliance with State requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with the aforementioned State requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. The legal determination of the District's compliance with these requirements is, however, ultimately the responsibility of the Department of Education.

Compliance

In our opinion the Columbia County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of students transported for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

The results of our examination disclosed noncompliance with State requirements. We considered this other noncompliance in forming our opinion regarding management's assertion and it did not affect our opinion as stated above. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed in SCHEDULE B. The impact of this noncompliance on the District's reported number of transported students is presented in SCHEDULE A and SCHEDULE B.

Internal Control Over Compliance

In accordance with attestation standards established by the AICPA and *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report significant deficiencies in internal control detected during our examination and identify those considered to be material weaknesses. The purpose of our examination was to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements and did not include expressing an opinion on the District's related internal controls. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Due to its limited purpose, our examination would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses.¹ The noncompliance mentioned above, while indicative of certain control deficiencies¹, is not considered indicative of material weaknesses in the District's internal controls related to the classification and reporting of transported students. The findings, populations, samples, and exception totals that pertain to noncompliance are presented in SCHEDULE A and SCHEDULE B.

The District's written response to this examination has not been subjected to our examination procedures, and accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

¹<u>A control deficiency</u> in the entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance on a timely basis. <u>A significant deficiency</u> is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to comply with the aforementioned State requirements such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. <u>A material weakness</u> is a significant deficiency, or combination of control likelihood that material noncompliance will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

This report is intended for the information of the Legislative Auditing Committee, members of the Florida Senate and the Florida House of Representatives, the State Board of Education, the Department of Education, and the Columbia County District School Board. Copies of this report are available pursuant to Section 11.45(4)(c), Florida Statutes, and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,

W. Martin

David W. Martin, CPA May 12, 2008

SCHEDULE A

Columbia County District School Board Student Transportation POPULATIONS, SAMPLES, AND TEST RESULTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Description	Number of <u>Vehicles</u>	% of <u>Pop.</u>	No. of Students <u>Transp.</u>	% of Pop. <u>(Sample)</u>
Population ¹ Sample ²	195	- 100.00%	9,961 227	100.00% 2.28%
<u>General Test Results/Non-Sample Students</u> With Exceptions ³ Net Audit Adjustments	-	- -	0 0	0.00% 0.00%
<u>Detailed Test Results/Sample Students</u> With Exceptions ³ Net Audit Adjustments	-	- -	8 (8)	(3.52%) (3.52%)
<u>Combined Test Rests/Sample and Non-Sam</u> Net Audit Adjustments	ple Students -	-	(8)	0.08%

² See NOTE B.

¹ The population figures for students are the totals of the figures reported for each survey conducted for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The District reported 9,961 students in the following ridership categories: 433 in IDEA (K-12), Weighted; 156 in IDEA (PK), Weighted; 37 in IDEA (PK), Unweighted; 11 in Teenage Parents and Infants; 9,276 in Two Miles or More; and 48 in Center to Center (IDEA), Unweighted. The District also reported operating a total of 195 buses. (IDEA stands for Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.)

 $^{^3}$ Students with exceptions are sample students with exceptions affecting their ridership classification or eligibility for State transportation funding. Students cited only for incorrect reporting of days-in-term, if any, are not included.

SCHEDULE B

Columbia County District School Board Student Transportation FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Overview

Management is responsible for determining and reporting the number of students transported in compliance with State requirements. These requirements are found primarily in Chapter 1006, Part I, E., and Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-3, Florida Administrative Code; and the *Student Transportation General Instructions* issued by the Department of Education. The Columbia County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of students transported for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed below and requires management's attention and action, as recommended on page 32.

Findings

Our examination procedures included both general tests and detailed tests. Our general tests included inquiries concerning the District's transportation of students and verification that a bus driver's report existed for each bus reported in a survey. Our detailed tests involved verification of the specific ridership categories reported for students sampled from the July, October, February, and June surveys. Adjusted students who were in more than one survey are accounted for by survey. For example, a student sampled twice (i.e., once for the October survey and once for the February survey) will be presented in our findings as two sample students.

General Tests/Non-Sample Students

1. [Ref. 55] <u>The reported number of buses in operation was overstated in the July</u> <u>survey by one bus. We made the following audit adjustment:</u>

July 2006 Survey Number of Buses in Operation

2. [Ref. 51] <u>The number of days-in-term was reported incorrectly for 148 students</u> in the July survey. The students were reported for 19 or 22 day-terms, but were transported to programs that had only 6 day-terms. We made the following audit

<u>adjustment</u>:

Students Transported Net Audit <u>Adjustments</u>

0

(1)

SCHEDULE B (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Student Transportation FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Findings	Students Transported Net Audit <u>Adjustments</u>
General Tests/Non-Sample Students (Continued)	
July 2006 Survey6 Days-in-TermIDEA (K-12), Weighted103IDEA (PK), Weighted41	
Two Miles or More4 <u>19 Days-in-Term</u> IDEA (K-12), Weighted(1)	
22 Days-in-TermIDEA (K-12), Weighted(102)IDEA (PK), Weighted(41)Two Miles or More(4)	<u>0</u>
Net Audit Adjustments – General Tests/Non-Sample Students	<u>0</u>
Detailed Tests/Sample Students 3. [Ref. 52] One student was reported incorrectly in IDEA (K-12), Weighted in the July survey. The bus driver's report indicated the student was not transported during the	
survey period. We made the following audit adjustment:	
July 2006 Survey <u>6 Days-in-Term</u> IDEA (K-12), Weighted (1)	(1)
4. [Ref. 53] Four PK students were reported incorrectly in IDEA (PK),	
Unweighted in the October survey. The students were not IDEA or enrolled in a	
Teenage Parents and Infants program and, thus, were not eligible for State	
transportation funding. We made the following audit adjustment:	
October 2006 Survey90 Days-in-TermIDEA (PK), Unweighted(4)	(4)

<u>SCHEDULE B</u> (Continued)

Columbia County District School Board Student Transportation **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

<u>Findings</u>		Students Transported Net Audit <u>Adjustments</u>	
<u>rmangs</u>		Adjustitients	
Detailed Tests/Sample Students (Continued)			
5. [Ref. 54] Three students (two in the October survey and one in the F	<u>February</u>		
survey) were not listed on their supporting bus drivers' reports. We made the for	ollowing		
<u>audit adjustments</u> :			
October 2006 Survey 90 Days-in-Term			
Center to Center (IDEA), Unweighted	(2)		
<u>February 2007 Survey</u> <u>90 Days-in-Term</u>			
Center to Center (IDEA), Unweighted	<u>(1</u>)	<u>(3</u>)	
Net Audit Adjustments – Detailed Tests/Sample Students		<u>(8</u>)	
Summary			
General and Detailed Tests			
Net Audit Adjustments – General Tests/Non-Sample Students Number of Buses in Operation	<u>(1</u>)	0	
Net Audit Adjustments – Detailed Tests/Sample Students	<u>\</u> _/	<u>(8</u>)	
Net Audit Adjustments – Combined Tests		<u>(8</u>)	

SCHEDULE C

Columbia County District School Board Student Transportation **RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS**

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Recommendations

We recommend that management exercise more care and take corrective action, as appropriate, to ensure that: (1) transported students are reported in the correct ridership categories and for the correct number of days-interm; and (2) only those students who were enrolled in school and were transported during a survey period are included with that survey's results.

The absence of statements in this report regarding practices and procedures followed by the District should not be construed as acceptance, approval, or endorsement of those practices and procedures. Additionally, the specific nature of this report does not limit or lessen the District's obligation to comply with all State requirements governing student transportation.

Regulatory Citations

Chapter 1006, Part I, E., F.S. Transportation of Public K-12 Students Section 1011.68, F.S. Funds for Student Transportation Chapter 6A-3, F.A.C. Transportation Student Transportation General Instructions

SCHEDULE D

Columbia County District School Board Student Transportation SUMMARY OF MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Management agreed with our findings and recommendations.

A copy of management's response may be found on page 36 of this report.

Columbia County District School Board Student Transportation **NOTES TO SCHEDULES** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

NOTE A - SUMMARY

A summary discussion of the significant features of student transportation and related areas follows:

1. <u>Student Eligibility</u>

Any student who is transported by bus must meet one or more of the following conditions in order to be eligible for State transportation funding: live two or more miles from school, be physically handicapped, be a Career Education or Exceptional student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or meet the criteria for hazardous walking specified in Section 1006.23(4), Florida Statutes.

2. <u>Transportation in Columbia County</u>

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, the District received approximately \$2.5 million in State transportation funding. The District's transportation reporting by survey was as follows:

Survey	No. of	No. of
<u>Period</u>	<u>Vehicles</u>	<u>Students</u>
July 2006	20	148
October 2006	84	4,965
February 2007	85	4,824
June 2007	<u>6</u>	<u>24</u>
Total	<u>195</u>	<u>9,961</u>

3. <u>Statutes and Rules</u>

The following statutes and rules are of significance to the District's administration of student transportation:

Chapter 1006, Part I, E., F.S. Transportation of Public K-12 Students Section 1011.68, F.S. Funds for Student Transportation Chapter 6A-3, F.A.C. Transportation Columbia County District School Board Student Transportation **NOTES TO SCHEDULES** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

NOTE B - SAMPLING

Our examination procedures provided for the selection of samples of buses and students, using statistical and judgmental methods, for testing the number of students transported as reported to the Department of Education for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. Our sampling process was designed to facilitate the performance of appropriate examination procedures to test the District's compliance with State requirements governing students transported.

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE

COLUMBIA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLEX - 372 WEST DUVAL STREET

LAKE CITY, FLORIDA 32055-3990 (386) 758-4935 • FAX (386) 758-4961 E-Mail: carswell, 1@frn.edu website:www.columbia.k12.fl.us

GRADY D. MARKHAM

ALEX L. CARSWELL, JR. ASSISTANT SUPERMETERS FOR INSTRUCTION



MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

KEITH HUDSON GLENN I. HUNTER LINARD JOHNSON CHARLES H. MAXWELL STEVE NELSON

June 18, 2008

Mr. David W. Martin, CPA Auditor General 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1450

Dear Mr. Martin:

I have reviewed the "drafi" audit conducted by your office for the Columbia County School District. While your findings are a concern we do understand and agree with them. We are currently working on implementing ways to insure that we are in compliance in all areas, but specifically the following material noncompliance issues:

1) Teachers

The twelve teachers that were reviewed and found to be noncompliant in the sample are working toward meeting all requirements for certification in-field. In the future we will make every effort to hire highly qualified teachers. If not available, we will send letters home notifying each parent of the out-of-field teacher.

2) Students

The exceptions involving reporting errors or records are duly noted and every effort will be made to fix the reporting errors for future records.

I regret to acknowledge these findings, but I know that every effort is being made to correct each finding listed in your report. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

orkham Orac Grady D. Markham

Superintendent

Aarielikal Septemmate by Southern Annualsen of Calippes and Schools An Equal Opportunity Exclanger Vanag Alfrenstein Action Guadelans