# FRANKLIN COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

Florida Education Finance Program

Full-Time Equivalent Student Enrollment

and Student Transportation

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2022



#### **Board Members and Superintendent**

During the 2021-22 fiscal year, Steve Lanier served as Superintendent and the following individuals served as Board members:

	District No.
Melonie Inzetta	1
Pamela Marshall, Vice Chair	2
Fonda Davis Sr.	3
Stacy Kirvin, Chair	4
Jared Mock	5

The team leader was John Ray Speaks Jr., CPA, and the examination was supervised by Jennifer Taylor, CPA.

Please address inquiries regarding this report to Jacqueline Bell, CPA, Audit Manager, by e-mail at <a href="mailto:jacquelinebell@aud.state.fl.us">jacquelinebell@aud.state.fl.us</a> or by telephone at (850) 412-2811.

This report and other reports prepared by the Auditor General are available at:

FLAuditor.gov

Printed copies of our reports may be requested by contacting us at:

**State of Florida Auditor General** 

Claude Pepper Building, Suite G74  $\cdot$  111 West Madison Street  $\cdot$  Tallahassee, FL 32399-1450  $\cdot$  (850) 412-2722

## FRANKLIN COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
SUMMARY	i
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT	1
SCHEDULE A – POPULATIONS, TEST SELECTION, AND TEST RESULTS	
Reported Full-Time Equivalent Student Enrollment	4
Schools and Students	4
Teachers	5
Proposed Adjustments	5
SCHEDULE B – EFFECT OF PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS ON WEIGHTED FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT	6
SCHEDULE C – PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL	7
SCHEDULE D – FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS	
Overview	9
Findings	9
SCHEDULE E - RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS	16
NOTES TO SCHEDULES	19
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON STUDENT TRANSPORTATION	23
SCHEDULE F - POPULATIONS, TEST SELECTION, AND TEST RESULTS	26
SCHEDULE G – FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS	
Overview	28
Findings	28
SCHEDULE H - RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS	31
NOTES TO SCHEDULES	32
MANIAGEMENT'S DESDONSE	33

## FRANKLIN COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DIT Days in Term

DOE Department of Education

DJJ Department of Juvenile Justice

ELL English Language Learner

ESE Exceptional Student Education

ESOL English for Speakers of Other Languages

FAC Florida Administrative Code

FEFP Florida Education Finance Program

FTE Full-Time Equivalent

IDEA Individuals with Disabilities Education Act

IEP Individual Educational Plan

OJT On-the-Job Training

PK Prekindergarten

SBE State Board of Education

#### SUMMARY OF ATTESTATION EXAMINATION

Except for the material noncompliance described below involving teachers and reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for students in Basic, Basic with Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Services, ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, and Career Education 9-12, the Franklin County District School Board (District) complied, in all material respects, with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the full-time equivalent (FTE) student enrollment, including teacher certification, and student transportation as reported under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. Specifically, we noted:

- State requirements governing teacher certification, School Board approval of out-of-field teacher
  assignments, notification to parents regarding teachers' out-of-field status, or the earning of
  required in-service training points in ESOL strategies were not met for 11 of the 30 teachers in
  our test. None of the 30 teachers in our test taught at charter schools.
- Exceptions involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or
  were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for 3 of
  the 27 students in our Basic test, 4 of the 23 students in our Basic with ESE Services test, 2 of
  the 6 students in our ESE Support Levels 4 and 5 test, and all 10 students in our Career Education
  9-12 test. None of the students attended charter schools.

Noncompliance related to the reported FTE student enrollment resulted in 23 findings. The resulting proposed net adjustment to the District's reported, unweighted FTE totaled negative 3.0905 (all applicable to District schools other than charter schools) but has a potential impact on the District's weighted FTE of negative 7.8449 (all applicable to District schools other than charter schools). Noncompliance related to student transportation resulted in 4 findings and a proposed net adjustment of negative 19 students.

The weighted adjustments to the FTE student enrollment are presented in our report for illustrative purposes only. The weighted adjustments to the FTE student enrollment do not take special program caps and allocation factors into account and are not intended to indicate the weighted FTE used to compute the dollar value of adjustments. That computation is the responsibility of the Department of Education (DOE). However, the gross dollar effect of our proposed adjustments to the FTE may be estimated by multiplying the proposed net weighted adjustments to the FTE student enrollment by the base student allocation amount. The base student allocation for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, was \$4,372.91 per FTE. For the District, the estimated gross dollar effect of our proposed adjustments to the reported FTE student enrollment is negative \$34,305 (negative 7.8449 times \$4,372.91), all of which is applicable to District schools other than charter schools.

We have not presented an estimate of the potential dollar effect of our proposed adjustments to student transportation because there is no equivalent method for making such an estimate.

The ultimate resolution of our proposed adjustments to the FTE student enrollment and student transportation and the computation of their financial impact is the responsibility of the DOE.



The District was established pursuant to Section 1001.30, Florida Statutes, to provide public educational services for the residents of Franklin County, Florida. Those services are provided primarily to prekindergarten (PK) through 12th-grade students and to adults seeking career education-type training. The District is part of the State system of public education under the general direction and control of the State Board of Education (SBE). The geographic boundaries of the District are those of Franklin County.

The governing body of the District is the District School Board that is composed of five elected members. The executive officer of the Board is the elected Superintendent of Schools. The District had four schools¹ other than charter schools, one charter school, and three virtual education cost centers serving PK through 12th-grade students.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, State funding totaling \$438,487 was provided through the FEFP to the District for the District-reported 1,162.40 unweighted FTE as recalibrated, which included 331.23 unweighted FTE as recalibrated for charter schools. The primary sources of funding for the District are funds from the FEFP, local ad valorem taxes, and Federal grants and donations.



#### **FTE Student Enrollment**

Florida school districts receive State funding through the FEFP to serve PK through 12th-grade students (adult education is not funded by the FEFP). The FEFP was established by the Florida Legislature in 1973 to guarantee to each student in the Florida public school system, including charter schools, the availability of programs and services appropriate to the student's educational needs that are substantially equal to those available to any similar student notwithstanding geographic differences and varying local economic factors. To provide equalization of educational opportunity in Florida, the FEFP formula recognizes: (1) varying local property tax bases, (2) varying program cost factors, (3) district cost differentials, and (4) differences in per-student costs for equivalent educational programs due to sparsity and dispersion of student population.

The funding provided by the FEFP is based on the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. A numerical value is assigned to each student according to the student's hours and days of attendance in those programs. The individual student thus becomes equated to a numerical value known as an unweighted FTE student enrollment. For brick and mortar school students, one student would be reported as 1.0 FTE if the student was enrolled in six courses per day at 50 minutes per course for the full 180-day school year (i.e., six courses at 50 minutes each per day is 5 hours of class a day or 25 hours per week, which equates to 1.0 FTE). For virtual education students, one student would be reported as 1.0 FTE if the student has successfully completed six courses or credits or the prescribed level of content that counts toward promotion to the next grade. A student who completes less than six credits will be reported as a fraction of an FTE. Half-credit completions will be included in

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes the John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities and the Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs identified with special use school numbers.

determining an FTE student enrollment. Credits completed by a student in excess of the minimum required for that student for graduation are not eligible for funding.

School districts report all FTE student enrollment regardless of the 1.0 FTE cap. The DOE combines all FTE student enrollment reported for the student by all school districts, including the Florida Virtual School. The DOE then recalibrates all reported FTE student enrollment for each student to 1.0 FTE if the total reported FTE for the student exceeds 1.0 FTE. The FTE student enrollment reported by the Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) for FTE student enrollment earned beyond the 180-day school year, FTE related to John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program, and FTE reported for the Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs are not included in the recalibration to 1.0 FTE.

All FTE student enrollment is capped at 1.0 FTE except for the FTE student enrollment reported by the DJJ for students beyond the 180-day school year and FTE related to the John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program and Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs. However, if a student only has FTE student enrollment reported in one FTE membership survey<sup>2</sup> of the 180-day school year (Survey 2 or Survey 3), the FTE student enrollment reported will be capped at .5000 FTE, even if FTE student enrollment is reported in Survey 1 or Survey 4, with the exception of FTE student enrollment reported by the DJJ for students beyond the 180-day school year and FTE related to John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program and Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs.

#### **Student Transportation**

Any student who is transported by the District must meet one or more of the following conditions to be eligible for State transportation funding: live 2 or more miles from school, be classified as a student with a disability under Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), be a Career Education 9-12 or an ESE student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or be on a route that meets the criteria for hazardous walking conditions specified in Section 1006.23, Florida Statutes. Additionally, Section 1002.33(20)(c), Florida Statutes, provides that the governing board of the charter school may provide transportation through an agreement or contract with the district school board, a private provider, or parents. The charter school and the sponsor shall cooperate in making arrangements that ensure that transportation is not a barrier to equal access for all students residing within a reasonable distance of the charter school as determined in its charter. The District received \$300,165 for student transportation as part of the State funding through the FEFP.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> FTE is determined and reported during the school year by means of four FTE membership surveys that are conducted under the direction of district and school management. See Note A6. for more information on surveys.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Report No. 2024-128 February 2024



# AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF FLORIDA

Claude Denson Pepper Building, Suite G74 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



Phone: (850) 412-2722 Fax: (850) 488-6975

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

#### **Report on Full-Time Equivalent Student Enrollment**

We have examined the Franklin County District School Board's (District's) compliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the full-time equivalent student enrollment including teacher certification reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. These requirements are found primarily in Sections 1011.60, 1011.61, and 1011.62, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-1, Florida Administrative Code; and the *FTE General Instructions 2021-22* issued by the Department of Education.

#### Management's Responsibility for Compliance

District management is responsible for the District's compliance with the aforementioned State requirements, including the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements based on our examination. Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards for a direct examination engagement established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the classification, assignment, and verification of the full-time equivalent student enrollment including teacher certification reported by the District under the Florida Education Finance Program complied with State requirements in all material respects.

An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about whether the District complied with State requirements. The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error.

We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our modified opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with State requirements. The legal determination of the District's compliance with these requirements is the responsibility of the Department of Education.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our examination engagement.

An examination by its nature does not include a review of all records and actions of District management and staff and, as a consequence cannot be relied upon to identify all instances of noncompliance, fraud, waste, abuse, or inefficiency. Because of these limitations and the inherent limitations of internal control, an unavoidable risk exists that some material noncompliance may not be detected, even though the examination is properly planned and performed in accordance with attestation standards.

#### **Opinion**

Our examination disclosed material noncompliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of full-time equivalent student enrollment as reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for teachers and students in our Basic, Basic with Exceptional Student Education Services, Exceptional Student Education Support Levels 4 and 5, and Career Education 9-12 tests involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located.

In our opinion, except for the material noncompliance with State requirements described in the preceding paragraph involving teachers and reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for students in Basic, Basic with Exceptional Student Education Services, Exceptional Student Education Support Levels 4 and 5, and Career Education 9-12, the Franklin County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the full-time equivalent student enrollment including teacher certification reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with attestation standards established by *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses<sup>3</sup> in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and waste and abuse that has a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions.

Page 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A significant deficiency is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

We performed our examination to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the District's related internal control over compliance with State requirements; accordingly, we express no such opinion. Because of its limited purpose, our examination would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, the material noncompliance mentioned above is indicative of significant deficiencies considered to be material weaknesses in the District's internal controls related to teacher certification and reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for students in Basic, Basic with Exceptional Student Education Services, Exceptional Student Education Support Levels 4 and 5, and Career Education 9-12. Our examination disclosed certain findings that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and all findings, along with the views of responsible officials, are described in *SCHEDULE D* and *MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE*, respectively. The impact of this noncompliance with State requirements on the District's reported full-time equivalent student enrollment including teacher certification is presented in *SCHEDULES A, B, C,* and *D*.

The District's written response to this examination has not been subjected to our examination procedures and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

Pursuant to Section 11.45(4)(c), Florida Statutes, this report is a public record and its distribution is not limited. Attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants require us to indicate that the purpose of this report is to provide an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Sherrill F. Norman, CPA Tallahassee, Florida

February 12, 2024

### POPULATIONS, TEST SELECTION, AND TEST RESULTS FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### **Reported FTE Student Enrollment**

The funding provided by the FEFP is based on the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. The FEFP funds ten specific programs that are grouped under four general program titles: Basic, ESOL, ESE, and Career Education 9-12. The unweighted FTE represents the FTE prior to the application of the specific cost factor for each program. (See *SCHEDULE B* and NOTE A3., A4., and A5.) For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the Franklin County District School Board (District) reported to the DOE 1,162.40 unweighted FTE as recalibrated, which included 331.23 unweighted FTE as recalibrated for charter schools, at four District schools other than charter schools, one charter school, and three virtual education cost centers.

#### **Schools and Students**

As part of our examination procedures, we tested the FTE student enrollment reported to the DOE for schools and students for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. (See NOTE B.) The population of schools (eight) consisted of the total number of brick and mortar schools in the District that offered courses, including charter schools, as well as the virtual education cost centers in the District that offered virtual instruction in the FEFP-funded programs. The population of students (1,162) consisted of the total number of students in each program at the schools and cost centers in our tests. Our Career Education 9-12 student test data includes only those students who participated in OJT.

We noted the following material noncompliance: exceptions involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for 3 of the 27 students in our Basic test,<sup>4</sup> 4 of the 23 students in our Basic with ESE Services,<sup>5</sup> 2 of the 6 students in our ESE Support Levels 4 and 5 test,<sup>6</sup> and all 10 students in our Career Education 9-12 test.<sup>7</sup> None of the students with exceptions attended charter schools.

Our populations and tests of schools and students are summarized as follows:

	Number of So	chools	Number of Stu at Schools Te		Students With	Recalibra Unweighte		Proposed
<u>Programs</u>	<b>Population</b>	Test	<u>Population</u>	Test	<b>Exceptions</b>	<b>Population</b>	Test	<u>Adjustments</u>
Basic	7	5	807	27	3	832.1900	14.3244	23.2899
Basic with ESE Services	6	5	298	23	4	259.6400	11.0399	(7.9603)
ESOL	2	1	37	12	-	23.4800	6.6440	(5.5347)
ESE Support Levels 4 and 5	4	2	6	6	2	11.0000	5.5000	(1.4998)
Career Education 9-12	2	1	<u>14</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	36.0900	2.0120	(11.3856)
All Programs	8	5	<u>1,162</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>1,162.4000</u>	39.5203	<u>(3.0905</u> )

Report No. 2024-128 February 2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For Basic, the material noncompliance is composed of Findings 13 and 17 on SCHEDULE D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For Basic with ESE Services, the material noncompliance is composed of Findings 14, 18, 19, and 20 on SCHEDULE D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, the material noncompliance is composed of Findings 2 and 3 on SCHEDULE D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> For Career Education 9-12, the material noncompliance is composed of Findings 4, 5, and 6 on SCHEDULE D.

#### **Teachers**

We also tested teacher qualifications as part of our examination procedures. (See NOTE B.) The population of teachers (75) consisted of the total number of teachers at schools in our test who taught courses in ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, Career Education 9-12, or taught courses to ELL students, and of the total number of teachers reported under virtual education cost centers in our test who taught courses in Basic, Basic with ESE Services, ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, Career Education 9-12, or taught courses to ELL students.

We noted the following material noncompliance: State requirements governing teacher certification. School Board approval of out-of-field teacher assignments, notification to parents regarding teachers' out-of-field status, or the earning of required in-service training points in ESOL strategies were not met for 11 of the 30 teachers in our test.8

#### **Proposed Adjustments**

Our proposed adjustments present the net effects of noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures, including those related to our test of teacher qualifications. Our proposed adjustments generally reclassify the reported FTE to Basic education, except for noncompliance involving a student's enrollment or attendance in which case the reported FTE is taken to zero. (See SCHEDULES B, C, and D.)

The ultimate resolution of our proposed adjustments to the FTE student enrollment and the computation of their financial impact is the responsibility of the DOE.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> For teachers, the material noncompliance is composed of Findings 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 21, 22, and 23 on SCHEDULE D.

### EFFECT OF PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS ON WEIGHTED FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

No. Program <sup>1</sup>	Proposed Net <u>Adjustment</u> <sup>2</sup>	Cost <u>Factor</u>	Weighted FTE <sup>3</sup>
101 Basic K-3	3.3490	1.126	3.7710
102 Basic 4-8	2.2013	1.000	2.2013
103 Basic 9-12	17.7396	1.010	17.9170
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services	(.8956)	1.126	(1.0084)
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	(1.6467)	1.000	(1.6467)
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	(5.4180)	1.010	(5.4722)
130 ESOL	(5.5347)	1.199	(6.6361)
254 ESE Support Level 4	(1.4998)	3.648	(5.4713)
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(11.3856</u> )	1.010	(11.4995)
Total	<u>(3.0905</u> )		<u>(7.8449</u> )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Note A7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These proposed net adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See *SCHEDULE C*.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Weighted adjustments to the FTE are presented for illustrative purposes only. The weighted adjustments to the FTE do not take special program caps or allocation factors into consideration and are not intended to indicate the FTE used to compute the dollar value of adjustments. That computation is the responsibility of the DOE. (See NOTE A5.)

### PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### Proposed Adjustments<sup>1</sup>

No. Program	<u>#0091</u>	<u>#0101</u>	<u>#7001</u>	Balance <u>Forward</u>
101 Basic K-3	1.9536		1.3954	3.3490
102 Basic 4-8	.5712	(.0166)		.5546
103 Basic 9-12	11.8978	(.1000)		11.7978
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services	.4998		(1.3954)	(.8956)
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services				.0000
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	1.0000			1.0000
130 ESOL	(5.5347)			(5.5347)
254 ESE Support Level 4	(1.4998)			(1.4998)
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(11.3856</u> )	<u></u>	<u></u>	(11.3856)
Total	<u>(2.4977</u> )	<u>(.1166</u> )	.0000	<u>(2.6143</u> )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>These proposed net adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See Note A5.)

	Duamaha	Proposed Adj	ustments <sup>1</sup>	
No. Program	Brought <u>Forward</u>	<u>#7004</u>	<u>#7023</u>	<u>Total</u>
101 Basic K-3	3.3490			3.3490
102 Basic 4-8	.5546		1.6467	2.2013
103 Basic 9-12	11.7978	(.2667)	6.2085	17.7396
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services	(.8956)			(.8956)
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	.0000		(1.6467)	(1.6467)
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	1.0000	(.2095)	(6.2085)	(5.4180)
130 ESOL	(5.5347)			(5.5347)
254 ESE Support Level 4	(1.4998)			(1.4998)
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(11.3856</u> )	<u></u>	<u></u>	(11.3856)
Total	<u>(2.6143</u> )	<u>(.4762</u> )	<u>.0000</u>	<u>(3.0905</u> )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>These proposed net adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See Note A5.)

### FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### <u>Overview</u>

Franklin County District School Board (District) management is responsible for determining that the FTE student enrollment including teacher certification as reported under the FEFP is in compliance with State requirements. These requirements are found primarily in Sections 1011.60, 1011.61, and 1011.62, Florida Statutes; SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-1, FAC; and the *FTE General Instructions 2021-22* issued by the DOE. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed below and requires management's attention and action as presented in *SCHEDULE E*.

Proposed Net Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

**Findings** 

Our examination included the July and October 2021 reporting survey periods and the February and June 2022 reporting survey periods. (See NOTE A6.) Unless otherwise specifically stated, the Findings and Proposed Adjustments presented herein are for the October 2021 reporting survey period, the February 2022 reporting survey period, or both. Accordingly, our Findings do not mention specific reporting survey periods unless necessary for a complete understanding of the instances of noncompliance being disclosed.

#### Franklin County School (#0091)

1. [Ref. 9101] Our examination of the School's attendance records disclosed that, contrary to SBE Rule 6A-1.044, FAC, and the DOE's *Comprehensive Management Information System: Automated Student Attendance Recordkeeping System Handbook*, procedures were not always in place to ensure the complete and accurate reporting of attendance. Specifically, the School did not retain documentation (i.e., source records completed by substitute teachers) to support the recorded attendance. Since we were able to verify our test students were in attendance at least 1 day of the reporting survey period, we present this disclosure finding with no proposed adjustment.

.0000

.0000

2. [Ref. 9102] One ESE student was not reported in accordance with the student's *Matrix of Services* form. We propose the following adjustment:

 113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services
 1.0000

 254 ESE Support Level 4
 (1.0000)

3. [Ref. 9103] The *Matrix of Services* form for one ESE student was not reviewed and updated when the student's new IEP was prepared. We propose the following adjustment:

#### **Findings**

Franklin County School (#0091) (Continued)

111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services	.4998	
254 ESE Support Level 4	<u>(.4998</u> )	.0000

4. [Ref. 9104] Our examination disclosed that seven students in our Career Education 9-12 with OJT test were incorrectly reported as Basic 9-12 rather than Career Education 9-12 during the October 2021 reporting survey period.

Further, our review of the timecards supporting the reporting for six of these students during both the October 2021 and February 2022 reporting survey periods disclosed one or more of the following exceptions:

- Timecards were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located.
- The timecard did not demonstrate that the student worked during the reporting survey period.
- Timecards were not signed and dated by the student's employer or were signed by the student's employer prior to the reporting survey period.
- More hours were reported for FTE than were supported by the timecard.

Consequently, we propose the following adjustment:

5. [Ref. 9105] Timecards for two Career Education 9-12 students who participated in OJT during the February 2022 reporting survey period were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located. We propose the following adjustment:

6. [Ref. 9106] The timecard for one Career Education 9-12 student who participated in OJT during the February 2022 reporting survey period was not signed by the student's employer. We propose the following adjustment:

Proposed Net Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

#### **Findings**

#### Franklin County School (#0091) (Continued)

7. [Ref. 9171] One teacher did not hold a valid teaching certificate and was not otherwise qualified to teach. The teacher's certificate expired prior to the 2021-22 school year and was not renewed until April 28, 2022, or subsequent to the October 2021 and February 2022 reporting survey periods. We propose the following adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	5.4314		
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(5.4314)</u>	.0000	

8. [Ref. 9173] One teacher did not hold a valid Florida teaching certificate and was not otherwise qualified to teach. We propose the following adjustment:

102 Basic 4-8	.5712	
103 Basic 9-12	2.1813	
130 ESOL	(2.7525)	.0000

9. [Ref. 9172] One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by the School Board to teach out of field. The teacher was certified in Social Science but taught a course that required certification in Aerospace. In addition, the students' parents were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We propose the following adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	1.8032	
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(1.8032</u> )	.0000

10. [Ref. 9174] One teacher taught Biology to a class that included ELL students but had earned none of the 60 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by SBE Rule 6A-6.0907, FAC, and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We propose the following adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	.8286		
130 ESOL	<u>(.8286</u> )	.0000	

11. [Ref. 9175] One teacher taught Language Arts and Basic subject area courses to ELL students but was not properly certified and was not approved by the School Board to teach these students out of field until January 27, 2022, which was after the October 2021 reporting survey period, and the students' parents were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status in ESOL. We also noted that the teacher had earned none of the 300 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by SBE Rule 6A-1.0503, FAC, or (Finding Continues on Next Page)

#### **Findings**

#### Franklin County School (#0091) (Continued)

the 60 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by SBE Rule 6A-6.0907, FAC, and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We propose the following adjustment:

101 Basic K-3	1.9536	
130 ESOL	(1.9536)	.0000

12. [Ref. 9176] One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by the School Board to teach out of field. The teacher held certification in Culinary Arts but taught a course that required certification in Family and Consumer Science. In addition, the students' parents were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We propose the following adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	3.1692	
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(3.1692</u> )	.0000

(2.4977)

#### Franklin County Learning Center (#0101)

13. [Ref. 10101] Our examination disclosed that the number of Class Minutes Weekly was overreported for two Basic students in the February 2022 reporting survey period. Specifically, each student was reported for a 300-minute course; however, the school's schedule supported only 250 minutes of weekly instruction. We also noted that one of the students was not enrolled during the October 2021 reporting survey period. We propose the following adjustment:

102 Basic 4-8	(.0166)	
103 Basic 9-12	<u>(.1000</u> )	<u>(.1166</u> )

(.1166)

#### Franklin Virtual Instruction Program (#7001)

14. [Ref. 700101] One ESE student did not have a valid IEP covering the 2021-22 school year. We propose the following adjustment:

101 Basic K-3	1.0000	
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services	<u>(1.0000</u> )	.0000

Proposed Net Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

#### **Findings**

#### Franklin Virtual Instruction Program (#7001) (Continued)

15. [Ref. 700171] One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by the School Board to teach out of field. The teacher was certified in Elementary Education, Reading, and ESOL, but taught courses that required an Autism Spectrum Disorder Endorsement. In addition, the students' parents were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We propose the following adjustment:

#### Franklin Virtual Franchise-PAEC FLVS (#7004)

16. [Ref. 700471] One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by the School Board to teach out of field. The teacher was certified in Math but taught a course that required certification in Music. In addition, the student's parents were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. Since the students were reported in Basic funding, we present this disclosure finding with no proposed adjustment.

.0000

.0000

17. [Ref. 700401] During our examination, District Management advised us that three students (one student was in our Basic test) were incorrectly reported for courses with instruction provided directly by the Florida Virtual School (FLVS). The District was not eligible to report these courses for FEFP funding. Accordingly, we propose the following adjustment:

18. [Ref. 700402] One ESE virtual education student was incorrectly reported for a course due to a data entry error. We propose the following adjustment:

19. [Ref. 700403] One ESE virtual education student's course was incorrectly reported for FTE. The FEFP provides annual funding for a 180-day regular school year and for specific programs that extend beyond the regular school year, including virtual instruction programs, for the purposes of course completion and credit recovery with an annual fiscal year ending June 30. The student enrolled in the course prior to June 30, 2021, and was not completing the course for credit recovery purposes. (Finding Continues on Next Page)

#### **Findings**

#### Franklin Virtual Franchise-PAEC FLVS (#7004) (Continued)

Consequently, the course was ineligible to be reported for FEFP funding for the 2021-22 school year. We also noted that the student did not have a valid IEP covering the February 2022 reporting survey period. We propose the following adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	.0714	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	<u>(.1428</u> )	<u>(.0714</u> )

#### (.4762)

#### Franklin Virtual Instruction Program (#7023)

20. [Ref. 702301] One ESE student did not have a valid IEP covering the time the student was enrolled in a virtual course. We propose the following adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	.0714	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	(.0714)	.0000

21. [Ref. 702371] One teacher was not properly certified and was not appropriately approved by the School Board to teach out of field. The teacher was certified in ESE but taught courses that required certification in Spanish, Language Arts, Math, Music, Science, Social Science, and Family and Consumer Sciences. In addition, the students' parents were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We propose the following adjustment:

102 Basic 4-8	.7416	
103 Basic 9-12	1.5557	
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	(.7416)	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	<u>(1.5557</u> )	.0000

22. [Ref. 702372] One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by the School Board to teach out of field. The teacher was certified in English, but taught courses that required certification in Math, General Science, Chemistry, and Social Science. In addition, the students' parents were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We propose the following adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	.3543	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	(.3543)	.0000

23. [Ref. 702373] One teacher did not hold a valid Florida teaching certificate and was not otherwise qualified to teach. We propose the following adjustment:

<u>Findings</u>		Proposed Net Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)
Franklin Virtual Instruction Program (#7023) (Continued)		
<ul><li>102 Basic 4-8</li><li>103 Basic 9-12</li><li>112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services</li><li>113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services</li></ul>	.9051 4.2271 (.9051) (4.2271)	<u>.0000</u>
		.0000
Proposed Net Adjustment		<u>(3.0905</u> )

### RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend that Franklin County District School Board (District) management exercise more care and take corrective action, as appropriate, to ensure that: (1) attendance procedures are properly followed, and records are maintained in compliance with Florida Statutes, SBE rules, and the DOE's Comprehensive Management Information System: Automated Student Attendance Recordkeeping System Handbook; (2) students are reported in the proper FEFP funding categories for the correct amount of FTE and documentation is retained to support that reporting, particularly for students in the ESE and Career Education Program; (3) ESE students are reported in accordance with the students' IEPs and Matrix of Services forms that are timely dated, properly completed, reflect only services indicated on the students' IEPs, and are maintained in the students' files; (4) students in Career Education 9-12 who participate in OJT are reported in accordance with timecards that are accurately completed. signed and dated by the employer, and retained in readily accessible files, or based on documented job searches; (5) only students who are in membership and in attendance at least 1 day during the reporting survey period are reported for FEFP funding; (6) only virtual education courses that are timely and successfully completed are reported for FEFP funding, and such completion is supported by readily accessible and accurate documentation; (7) teachers are properly certified, or if not properly certified, are approved by the School Board, and the students' parents are notified of the teacher's out-of-field assignment; (8) teachers earn in-service training points required by SBE Rules 6A-1.0503 and 6A-6.0907, FAC, and in accordance with the teachers' in-service training timelines; and (9) student course schedules are reported in accordance with the schools' scheduled minutes of weekly instruction.

The absence of statements in this report regarding practices and procedures followed by the District should not be construed as acceptance, approval, or endorsement of those practices and procedures. Additionally, the specific nature of this report does not limit or lessen the District's obligation to comply with all State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the FTE student enrollment including teacher certification as reported under the FEFP.

#### **REGULATORY CITATIONS**

#### Reporting

Section 1007.271(21), Florida Statutes, Dual Enrollment Programs

Section 1011.60, Florida Statutes, Minimum Requirements of the Florida Education Finance Program

Section 1011.61, Florida Statutes, Definitions

Section 1011.62, Florida Statutes, Funds for Operation of Schools

SBE Rule 6A-1.0451, FAC, Florida Education Finance Program Student Membership Surveys

SBE Rule 6A-1.045111, FAC, Hourly Equivalent to 180-Day School Year

FTE General Instructions 2021-22

#### **Attendance**

Section 1003.23, Florida Statutes, Attendance Records and Reports

SBE Rule 6A-1.044(3) and (6)(c), FAC, Pupil Attendance Records

FTE General Instructions 2021-22

Comprehensive Management Information System: Automated Student Attendance Recordkeeping System Handbook

#### **ESOL**

Section 1003.56, Florida Statutes, English Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient Students

Section 1011.62(1)(g), Florida Statutes, Education for Speakers of Other Languages

SBE Rule 6A-6.0901, FAC, Definitions Which Apply to Programs for English Language Learners

SBE Rule 6A-6.0902, FAC, Requirements for Identification, Eligibility, and Programmatic Assessments of English Language Learners

SBE Rule 6A-6.09021, FAC, Annual English Language Proficiency Assessment for English Language Learners (ELLs)

SBE Rule 6A-6.09022, FAC, Extension of Services in English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL)

Program

SBE Rule 6A-6.0903, FAC, Requirements for Exiting English Language Learners from the English for Speakers of Other Languages Program

SBE Rule 6A-6.09031, FAC, Post Reclassification of English Language Learners (ELLs)

SBE Rule 6A-6.0904, FAC, Equal Access to Appropriate Instruction for English Language Learners

#### **Career Education On-The-Job Attendance**

SBE Rule 6A-1.044(6)(c), FAC, Pupil Attendance Records

#### **Career Education On-The-Job Funding Hours**

FTE General Instructions 2021-22

#### **Exceptional Education**

Section 1003.57, Florida Statutes, Exceptional Students Instruction

Section 1011.62, Florida Statutes, Funds for Operation of Schools

Section 1011.62(1)(e), Florida Statutes, Funding Model for Exceptional Student Education Programs

SBE Rule 6A-6.03028, FAC, Provision of Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) and Development of Individual Educational Plans for Students with Disabilities

SBE Rule 6A-6.03029, FAC, Development of Individualized Family Support Plans for Children with Disabilities Ages Birth Through Five Years

SBE Rule 6A-6.0331, FAC, General Education Intervention Procedures, Evaluation, Determination of Eligibility, Reevaluation and the Provision of Exceptional Student Education Services

SBE Rule 6A-6.0334, FAC, Individual Educational Plans (IEPs) and Educational Plans (EPs) for Transferring Exceptional Students

SBE Rule 6A-6.03411, FAC, Definitions, ESE Policies and Procedures, and ESE Administrators

SBE Rule 6A-6.0361, FAC, Contractual Agreements with Nonpublic Schools and Residential Facilities Matrix of Services Handbook (2017 Edition)

#### **Teacher Certification**

Section 1010.215(1)(c), Florida Statutes, Educational Funding Accountability

Section 1012.01(2)(a), Florida Statutes, Definitions, Classroom Teachers

Section 1012.42(2), Florida Statutes, Teacher Teaching Out-of-Field; Notification Requirements

Section 1012.55, Florida Statutes, Positions for Which Certificates Required

Section 1012.56, Florida Statutes, Educator Certification Requirements

SBE Rule 6A-1.0502, FAC, Non-certificated Instructional Personnel

SBE Rule 6A-1.0503, FAC, Definition of Qualified Instructional Personnel

SBE Rule 6A-4.001, FAC, Instructional Personnel Certification

SBE Rule 6A-4.0021, FAC, Florida Teacher Certification Examinations

SBE Rule 6A-6.0907, FAC, Inservice Requirements for Personnel of Limited English Proficient Students

#### **Virtual Education**

Section 1002.321, Florida Statutes, Digital Learning

Section 1002.37, Florida Statutes, The Florida Virtual School

Section 1002.45, Florida Statutes, Virtual Instruction Programs

Section 1002.455, Florida Statutes, Student Eligibility for K-12 Virtual Instruction

Section 1003.498, Florida Statutes, School District Virtual Course Offerings

#### **Charter Schools**

Section 1002.33, Florida Statutes, Charter Schools

### NOTE A – SUMMARY FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

A summary discussion of the significant features of the Franklin County District School Board (District), the FEFP, the FTE, and related areas is provided below.

#### 1. The District

The District was established pursuant to Section 1001.30, Florida Statutes, to provide public educational services for the residents of Franklin County, Florida. Those services are provided primarily to PK through 12th-grade students and to adults seeking career education-type training. The District is part of the State system of public education under the general direction and control of the SBE. The geographic boundaries of the District are those of Franklin County.

The governing body of the District is the District School Board that is composed of five elected members. The executive officer of the Board is the elected Superintendent of Schools. The District had four schools other than charter schools, one charter school, and three virtual education cost centers serving PK through 12th-grade students.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, State funding totaling \$438,487 was provided through the FEFP to the District for the District-reported 1,162.40 unweighted FTE as recalibrated, which included 331.23 unweighted FTE as recalibrated for charter schools. The primary sources of funding for the District are funds from the FEFP, local ad valorem taxes, and Federal grants and donations.

#### 2. FEFP

Florida school districts receive State funding through the FEFP to serve PK through 12th-grade students (adult education is not funded by the FEFP). The FEFP was established by the Florida Legislature in 1973 to guarantee to each student in the Florida public school system, including charter schools, the availability of programs and services appropriate to the student's educational needs that are substantially equal to those available to any similar student notwithstanding geographic differences and varying local economic factors. To provide equalization of educational opportunity in Florida, the FEFP formula recognizes: (1) varying local property tax bases, (2) varying program cost factors, (3) district cost differentials, and (4) differences in per-student cost for equivalent educational programs due to sparsity and dispersion of student population.

#### 3. FTE Student Enrollment

The funding provided by the FEFP is based on the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. A numerical value is assigned to each student according to the student's hours and days of attendance in those programs. The individual student thus becomes equated to a numerical value known as an unweighted FTE student enrollment. For example, for PK through 3rd-grade, 1.0 FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 20 hours per week for 180 days; for grade levels 4 through 12, 1.0 FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 25 hours per week for 180 days. For brick and

mortar school students, one student would be reported as 1.0 FTE if the student was enrolled in six courses per day at 50 minutes per course for the full 180-day school year (i.e., six courses at 50 minutes each per day is 5 hours of class a day or 25 hours per week, which equates to 1.0 FTE). For virtual education students, one student would be reported as 1.0 FTE if the student has successfully completed six courses or credits or the prescribed level of content that counts toward promotion to the next grade. A student who completes less than six credits will be reported as a fraction of an FTE. Half-credit completions will be included in determining an FTE student enrollment. Credits completed by a student in excess of the minimum required for that student for graduation are not eligible for funding.

#### 4. Recalibration of FTE to 1.0

School districts report all FTE student enrollment regardless of the 1.0 FTE cap. The DOE combines all FTE student enrollment reported for the student by all school districts, including the Florida Virtual School. The DOE then recalibrates all reported FTE student enrollment for each student to 1.0 FTE if the total reported FTE for the student exceeds 1.0 FTE. The FTE student enrollment reported by the DJJ for FTE student enrollment earned beyond the 180-day school year, FTE related to John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program, and FTE reported for the Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs are not included in the recalibration to 1.0 FTE.

All FTE student enrollment is capped at 1.0 FTE except for the FTE student enrollment reported by the DJJ for students beyond the 180-day school year and FTE related to the John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program and Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs. However, if a student only has FTE student enrollment reported in one FTE membership survey of the 180-day school year (Survey 2 or Survey 3), the FTE student enrollment reported will be capped at .5000 FTE, even if FTE student enrollment is reported in Survey 1 or Survey 4, with the exception of FTE student enrollment reported by the DJJ for students beyond the 180-day school year and FTE related to John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program and Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs.

#### 5. Calculation of FEFP Funds

The amount of State and local FEFP funds is calculated by the DOE by multiplying the number of unweighted FTE in each educational program by the specific cost factor of each program to obtain weighted FTEs. Weighted FTEs are multiplied by the base student allocation amount and that product is multiplied by the appropriate cost differential factor. Various adjustments are then added to obtain the total State and local FEFP dollars. All cost factors, the base student allocation amount, cost differential factors, and various adjustment figures are established by the Florida Legislature.

#### 6. FTE Reporting Surveys

The FTE is determined and reported during the school year by means of four FTE membership surveys that are conducted under the direction of district and school management. Each survey is a determination of the FTE membership for a period of 1 week. The surveys for the 2021-22 school year were conducted during and for the following weeks at the applicable schools: Survey 1 was performed July 12 through 16, 2021; Survey 2 was performed October 11 through 15, 2021; Survey 3 was performed February 7 through 11, 2022; and Survey 4 was performed June 13 through 17, 2022.

#### 7. Educational Programs

The FEFP funds ten specific programs under which instruction may be provided as authorized by the Florida Legislature. The general program titles under which these specific programs fall are: (1) Basic, (2) ESOL, (3) ESE, and (4) Career Education 9-12.

#### 8. Statutes and Rules

The following statutes and rules are of significance to the administration of Florida public education:

Chapter 1000, Florida Statutes, Early Learning-20 General Provisions

Chapter 1001, Florida Statutes, Early Learning-20 Governance

Chapter 1002, Florida Statutes, Student and Parental Rights and Educational Choices

Chapter 1003, Florida Statutes, Public K-12 Education

Chapter 1006, Florida Statutes, Support for Learning

Chapter 1007, Florida Statutes, Articulation and Access

Chapter 1010, Florida Statutes, Financial Matters

Chapter 1011, Florida Statutes, Planning and Budgeting

Chapter 1012, Florida Statutes, Personnel

SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-1, FAC, Finance and Administration

SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-4, FAC, Certification

SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-6, FAC, Special Programs I

### NOTE B – TESTING FTE STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Our examination procedures for testing provided for the selection of schools, students, and teachers using judgmental methods for testing the FTE student enrollment including teacher certification as reported under the FEFP to the DOE for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. Our testing process was designed to facilitate the performance of appropriate examination procedures to test the District's compliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the FTE student enrollment including teacher certification as reported under the FEFP. The following schools were selected for testing:

	School	<u>Findings</u>
1.	Franklin County School	1 through 12
2.	Franklin County Learning Center	13
3.	Franklin Virtual Instruction Program	14 and 15
4.	Franklin Virtual Franchise-PAEC FLVS	16 through 19
5.	Franklin Virtual Instruction Program	20 through 23

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



# AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF FLORIDA

Claude Denson Pepper Building, Suite G74 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



Phone: (850) 412-2722 Fax: (850) 488-6975

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

#### **Report on Student Transportation**

We have examined the Franklin County District School Board's (District's) compliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation as reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. These requirements are found primarily in Chapter 1006, Part I, E. and Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-3, Florida Administrative Code; and the *FTE General Instructions* 2021-22 (Appendix G) issued by the Department of Education.

#### Management's Responsibility for Compliance

District management is responsible for the District's compliance with the aforementioned State requirements, including the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements based on our examination. Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards for a direct examination engagement established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation reported by the District under the Florida Education Finance Program complied with State requirements in all material respects.

An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about whether the District complied with State requirements. The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with

State requirements. The legal determination of the District's compliance with these requirements is, however, ultimately the responsibility of the Department of Education.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our examination engagement.

An examination by its nature does not include a review of all records and actions of District management and staff and, as a consequence cannot be relied upon to identify all instances of noncompliance, fraud, waste, abuse, or inefficiency. Because of these limitations and the inherent limitations of internal control, an unavoidable risk exists that some material noncompliance may not be detected, even though the examination is properly planned and performed in accordance with attestation standards.

#### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the Franklin County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with attestation standards established by *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses<sup>9</sup> in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and waste and abuse that has a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions.

We performed our examination to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the District's related internal control over compliance with State requirements; accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our examination disclosed certain findings that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and all findings, along with the views of responsible officials, are described in *SCHEDULE G* and *MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE*, respectively. Because of its limited purpose, our examination would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. The impact of this noncompliance with State requirements on the District's reported student transportation is presented in *SCHEDULES F* and *G*.

The District's written response to this examination has not been subjected to our examination procedures and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> A significant deficiency is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

Pursuant to Section 11.45(4)(c), Florida Statutes, this report is a public record and its distribution is not limited. Attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants require us to indicate that the purpose of this report is to provide an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Sherrill F. Norman, CPA Tallahassee, Florida

February 12, 2024

### POPULATIONS, TEST SELECTION, AND TEST RESULTS STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

Any student who is transported by the Franklin County District School Board (District) must meet one or more of the following conditions to be eligible for State transportation funding: live 2 or more miles from school, be classified as a student with a disability under IDEA, be a Career Education 9-12 or an ESE student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or be on a route that meets the criteria for hazardous walking conditions specified in Section 1006.23(2), Florida Statutes. (See NOTE A1.)

As part of our examination procedures, we tested student transportation as reported to the DOE for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. (See NOTE B.) The population of vehicles (27) consisted of the total number of vehicles (buses, vans, or passenger cars) reported by the District for all reporting survey periods. For example, a vehicle that transported students during the July and October 2021 and February and June 2022 reporting survey periods would be counted in the population as four vehicles. Similarly, the population of students (1,239) consisted of the total number of funded students reported by the District as having been transported for all reporting survey periods. (See NOTE A2.) The District reported students in the following ridership categories:

Ridership Category	Number of Funded Students <u>Transported</u>
IDEA – PK through Grade 12, Weighted	3
All Other FEFP Eligible Students	<u>1,236</u>
Total	<u>1,239</u>

Students with exceptions are students with exceptions affecting their ridership category. Students cited only for incorrect reporting of DIT, if any, are not included in our error-rate determination.

Our examination results are summarized below:

	Buses	Buses Students	
<u>Description</u>	Proposed Net Adjustment	With Exceptions	Proposed Net Adjustment
We noted that the reported number of buses in operation was overstated.	(2)	-	-
Our tests included 167 of the 1,239 students reported as being transported by the District.	-	4	(3)
In conjunction with our general tests of student transportation we identified certain issues related to 16 additional students.	Ξ	<u>16</u>	<u>(16</u> )
Totals	<u>(2</u> )	<u>20</u>	<u>(19</u> )

Our proposed net adjustment presents the net effect of noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures. (See *SCHEDULE G.*)

The ultimate resolution of our proposed net adjustment and the computation of its financial impact is the responsibility of the DOE.

### FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

#### **Overview**

Franklin County District School Board (District) management is responsible for determining that student transportation as reported under the FEFP is in compliance with State requirements. These requirements are found primarily in Chapter 1006, Part I, E. and Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes; SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-3, FAC; and the *FTE General Instructions 2021-22 (Appendix G)* issued by the DOE. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed below and requires management's attention and action as presented in *SCHEDULE H*.

Students Transported Proposed Net Adjustments

#### **Findings**

Our examination procedures included both general tests and detailed tests. Our general tests included inquiries concerning the District's transportation of students and verification that a bus driver's report existed for each bus reported in a survey period. Our detailed tests involved verification of the specific ridership categories reported for students in our tests from the October 2021 reporting survey period and the February and June 2022 reporting survey periods. Adjusted students who were in more than one reporting survey period are accounted for by reporting survey period. For example, a student included in our tests twice (e.g., once for the October 2021 reporting survey period and once for the February 2022 reporting survey period) will be presented in our Findings as two test students.

1. [Ref. 51] Our general tests disclosed that 1,172 students were reported for an incorrect number of DIT for the October 2021 and February 2022 reporting survey periods. The students were reported for 79, 88, and 92 DIT, rather than 88 and 89 DIT respectively. We propose the following adjustments:

#### October 2021 Survey

88 Days in Term	
IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted	1
All Other FEFP Eligible Students	553
79 Days in Term	
IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted	(1)
All Other FEFP Eligible Students	(553)

<u>Findings</u>	Students Transported Proposed Net Adjustments
February 2022 Survey	
92 Days in Term	
IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted (1)	
All Other FEFP Eligible Students (602)	
89 Days in Term	
IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted 1	
All Other FEFP Eligible Students 617	
88 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (15)	0

2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that the number of buses in operation was overstated by two buses. Specifically, one bus reported during the February 2022 reporting survey period was reported in error and one van was incorrectly reported as a bus in the October 2021 reporting survey period. We also noted that the one student in our test transported in the passenger van was incorrectly reported in the IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted ridership category, and as such was not eligible to be reported in a weighted ridership category. We propose the following adjustments:

#### October 2021 Survey

Number of Buses in Operation (1)

88 Days in Term

IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted (1)
All Other FEFP Eligible Students  $\underline{1}$  0

February 2022 Survey

Number of Buses in Operation (1) (2)

3. [Ref. 53] Our general tests disclosed that the ridership report for one van was not signed by the driver. Consequently, the ridership of the six students (one student was in our test) reported on this van could not be validated. We propose the following adjustment:

#### February 2022 Survey

89 Days in Term

All Other FEFP Eligible Students (6)

#### Students Transported Proposed Net Adjustments

(1)

#### **Findings**

4. [Ref. 54] Our general tests disclosed that 13 students (2 students were in our test) were either not marked by the bus driver as riding the bus (5 students) or were not listed on the bus drivers' reports (8 students). We propose the following adjustments:

#### October 2021 Survey

88 Days in Term

All Other FEFP Eligible Students

February 2022 Survey

89 Days in Term

All Other FEFP Eligible Students (12)

Proposed Net Adjustment (19)

### RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend that Franklin County District School Board (District) management exercise more care and take corrective action, as appropriate, to ensure that: (1) the number of DIT is accurately reported and documentation is maintained to support that reporting; (2) the number of buses in operation is accurately reported and documentation is maintained to support that reporting; (3) all bus drivers' reports documenting student ridership during the reporting survey periods are signed and dated by the bus drivers attesting to the validity and correctness of the students' ridership; (4) students who are reported in a weighted ridership category are documented as having met at least one of the five criteria required for weighted classification, as indicated on each student's IEP and transported on a school bus; and (5) only those students who are in membership and are documented as having been transported at least 1 day during the reporting survey period are reported for State transportation funding

The absence of statements in this report regarding practices and procedures followed by the District should not be construed as acceptance, approval, or endorsement of those practices and procedures. Additionally, the specific nature of this report does not limit or lessen the District's obligation to comply with all State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation as reported under the FEFP.

#### **REGULATORY CITATIONS**

Section 1002.33, Florida Statutes, *Charter Schools*Chapter 1006, Part I, E., Florida Statutes, *Transportation of Public K-12 Students*Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes, *Funds for Student Transportation*SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-3, FAC, *Transportation FTE General Instructions 2021-22 (Appendix G)* 

### NOTE A - SUMMARY STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

A summary discussion of the significant features of the Franklin County District School Board (District) student transportation and related areas is provided below.

#### 1. Student Eligibility

Any student who is transported by the District must meet one or more of the following conditions to be eligible for State transportation funding: live 2 or more miles from school, be classified as a student with a disability under IDEA, be a Career Education 9-12 or an ESE student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or be on a route that meets the criteria for hazardous walking conditions specified in Section 1006.23(2), Florida Statutes.

#### 2. Transportation in Franklin County

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the District received \$300,165 for student transportation as part of the State funding through the FEFP. The District's student transportation reported by survey period was as follows:

Survey Period	Number of Vehicles	Number of Funded <u>Students</u>	Number of Courtesy <u>Riders</u>
October 2021	12	616	3
February 2022	12	618	34
June 2022	<u>3</u>	5	
Totals	<u>27</u>	<u>1,239</u>	<u>37</u>

#### 3. Statutes and Rules

The following statutes and rules are of significance to the District's administration of student transportation:

Section 1002.33, Florida Statutes, *Charter Schools*Chapter 1006, Part I, E., Florida Statutes, *Transportation of Public K-12 Students*Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes, *Funds for Student Transportation*SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-3, FAC, *Transportation* 

### NOTE B – TESTING STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

Our examination procedures for testing provided for the selection of students using judgmental methods for testing student transportation as reported to the DOE for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. Our testing process was designed to facilitate the performance of appropriate examination procedures to test the District's compliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation as reported under the FEFP.



Franklin County District Schools 85 School Road Eastpoint, FL 32328 Ph (850) 670-2810 Fax (850) 670-8579

February 12, 2024

Ms. Sherill F. Norman, CPA Office of the Auditor General 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

Dear Ms. Norman,

The following is our response to the audit findings in your Florida Education Finance Program Full-Time Equivalent Student and Student Transportation Audit for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022.

In reference to findings for Full-Time Equivalent Student Enrollment, the District provides the following correction action measures to ensure accurate reporting:

Finding #1 [Ref. 9101]: The District has provided additional training to data entry personnel regarding substitute teacher attendance recordkeeping.

Finding #2 [Ref. 9102], Finding #3 [Ref. 9103], Finding 14 [Ref. 700101], Finding #19 [Ref. [700403], Finding #20 [Ref. 702301]: The Director of ESE will review this finding with appropriate staff to include review/completion of Matrix of Services form from an IEP meeting, the importance of accurate and timely communication of any changes to data entry, and accurate and timely imputing of data.

Finding #4 [Ref. 9104], Finding #5 [Ref. 9105], Finding #6 [Ref. 9106]: The CTE Coordinator will review this finding with vocational teachers assigned to OJT courses and provide recordkeeping training.

Finding #7 [Ref. 9171], Finding #8 [Ref. 9173], Finding #9 [Ref. 9172], Finding #10 [Ref. 9174], Finding #11 [Ref. 9175], Finding #12 [Ref. 9176], Finding #15 [Ref. 700171], Finding #16 [Ref. 700471], Finding #21 [Ref. 702371], Finding #22 [ Ref. 702372], Finding #23 [Ref. 702373]: The District has enhanced its review process of teacher certifications, professional development, teacher placements for reporting out-of-field teachers, and the notification of students' parents.

Finding #13 [Ref. 10101], Finding #17 [Ref. 700401], Finding #18 [Ref. 700402]: Management Information Systems (MIS) will continue to work with data entry to properly report weekly instruction minutes and course reporting.

The Franklin County School District does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, age, disability, pregnancy or marital status in its educational programs, services or activities, or in its hiring or employment practices. The district also provides equal access to its facilities to the Boy Scouts and other patriotic youth groups, as required by the Boy Scouts of America Equal Access Act. Questions, complaints or request for additional information regarding discrimination or harassment may be sent to: Jennifer Leach, Human Resource Officer, 85 School Road, Eastpoint, Florida 32328 850-670-2810 X 4101, ileach@fcsdfl.org

In reference to findings for Student Transportation, the District will take the following corrective action measures to ensure accurate reporting:

Finding #1 [Ref. 51], Finding #2 [Ref. 52], Finding #3 [ Ref. 53] Finding #4 [Ref. 54]: The District will more closely monitor the reporting of transportation of students including the days in each term, the number of buses, and that ridership attendance documentation is reviewed and signed by the driver.

Sincerely,

Steve Lanier Superintendent