MYRTLE CREEK
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA
FINANCIAL REPORT
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2018

## MYRTLE CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1-2
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	3-6
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Government-Wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	7
Statement of Activities	8
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	9
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	
to the Statement of Net Position	10
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances –	
Governmental Funds	11
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in	
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	12
Notes to the Financial Statements	13-21
Tread to the Financial Statements	10 21
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance –	
Budget and Actual – General Fund	22
Notes to Required Supplementary Information	23
Notes to Nequired Supplementary information	25
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL	
REPORTING AND COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT	
OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH	
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	24-25
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS	
OF SECTION 218.415, FLORIDA STATUTES, REQUIRED BY RULE 10.556(10)	
OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA	26
MANAGEMENT LETTER REQUIRED BY CHAPTER 10.550 OF THE RULES	
OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA	27-28



951 Yamato Road • Suite 280 Boca Raton, Florida 33431 (561) 994-9299 • (800) 299-4728 Fax (561) 994-5823 www.graucpa.com

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Supervisors Myrtle Creek Improvement District City of Orlando, Florida

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Myrtle Creek Improvement District, City of Orlando, Florida ("District") as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund, of the District as of September 30, 2018, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the fiscal year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Other Matters**

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated April 1, 2019, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

#### Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

We have also issued our report dated April 1, 2019, on our consideration of the District's compliance with the requirements of Section 218.415, Florida Statutes, as required by Rule 10.556(10) of the Auditor General of the State of Florida. The purpose of that report is to provide an opinion based on our examination conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

April 1, 2019

Dear & association

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The management of the Myrtle Creek Improvement District, City of Orlando, Florida ("District") would like to offer the readers of the District's financial statements this discussion and analysis of the District's financial activities for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018. Please read it in conjunction with the District's Independent Auditor's Report, basic financial statements, accompanying notes and supplementary information to the basic financial statements.

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The liabilities of the District exceeded its assets plus deferred outflows of resources at the close of the most recent fiscal year resulting in a net position deficit balance of (\$14,871,708).
- The change in the District's total net position in comparison with the prior fiscal year was \$322,713, an
  increase. The key components of the District's net position and change in net position are reflected in
  the table in the government-wide financial analysis section.
- At September 30, 2018, the District's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$1,936,384, a decrease of (\$173,312) in comparison with the prior fiscal year. A portion of fund balance is restricted for debt service and capital projects, non-spendable for prepaid items and the remainder is assigned for subsequent year's expenditures and future maintenance.

#### **OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

This discussion and analysis are intended to serve as the introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

#### Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the District's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The statement of net position presents information on all the District's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities presents information showing how the government's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods.

The government-wide financial statements include all governmental activities that are principally supported by assessments. The District does not have any business-type activities. The governmental activities of the District include the general government (management) and maintenance functions.

#### **Fund Financial Statements**

A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The District has one fund category, the governmental funds.

#### OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Governmental Funds

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a District's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the District's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The District maintains three governmental funds for external reporting. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the general fund, debt service fund and capital projects fund, all of which are considered major funds.

The District adopts an annual appropriated budget for its general fund. A budgetary comparison schedule has been provided for the general fund to demonstrate compliance with the budget.

#### Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS**

As noted earlier, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of an entity's financial position. In the case of the District, liabilities exceeded assets plus deferred outflows of resources at the close of the most recent fiscal year.

Key components of the District's net position are reflected in the following table:

#### NET POSITION SEPTEMBER 30.

0047

	2018	2017
Assets, excluding capital assets	\$ 2,007,220	\$ 2,167,990
Capital assets, net of depreciation	2,433,300	2,349,099
Total assets	4,440,520	4,517,089
Deferred outflows of resources	600,604	634,046
Liabilities, excluding long-term liabilities	335,669	334,294
Long-term liabilities	 19,577,163	20,011,262
Total liabilities	19,912,832	20,345,556
Net Position		
Net investment in capital assets	(16,148,680)	(16,627,644)
Restricted for debt service	901,873	864,735
Unrestricted	 375,099	568,488
Total net position	\$ (14,871,708)	\$ (15,194,421)
Long-term liabilities Total liabilities Net Position Net investment in capital assets Restricted for debt service Unrestricted	\$ 19,912,832 (16,148,680) 901,873 375,099	\$ 20,345,556 (16,627,644) 864,735 568,488

#### GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (Continued)

The District's net position reflects its investment in capital assets (e.g. land, land improvements, and infrastructure); less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. These assets are used to provide services to residents; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the District's investment in capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

The restricted portion of the District's net position represents resources that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used.

The District's net position increased during the most recent fiscal year. The majority of the increase represents the extent to which ongoing program revenues exceeded the cost of operations and depreciation expense.

Key elements of the change in net position are reflected in the following table:

#### CHANGES IN NET POSITION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,

TOR THE FROME TEAR END	,						
		2018		2017			
Revenues:							
Program revenues							
Charges for services	\$	1,858,082	\$	1,837,888			
Operating grants and contributions		14,770		5,874			
Capital grants and contributions		3,816		2,318			
General revenues							
Miscellaneous		100		10,513			
Interest income		2,778		2,325			
Total revenues		1,879,546		1,858,918			
Expenses:							
General government		122,499		109,670			
Physical environment		781,899		658,502			
Bond issue costs		-		61,163			
Interest		652,435		676,940			
Total expenses		1,556,833		1,506,275			
Change in net position		322,713		352,643			
Net position - beginning		(15,194,421)		(15,547,064)			
Net position - ending	\$	(14,871,708)	\$	(15, 194, 421)			

As noted above and in the statement of activities, the cost of all governmental activities during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018 was \$1,556,833. The costs of the District's activities were mainly funded by program revenues. Program revenues are comprised primarily of special assessments. Expenses increased from the prior year mainly as a result of an increase in maintenance related expenditures in the current year.

#### GENERAL BUDGETING HIGHLIGHTS

An operating budget was adopted and maintained by the governing board for the District pursuant to the requirements of Florida Statutes. The budget is adopted using the same basis of accounting that is used in preparation of the fund financial statements. The legal level of budgetary control, the level at which expenditures may not exceed budget, is in the aggregate. Any budget amendments that increase the aggregate budgeted appropriations must be approved by the Board of Supervisors. Actual general fund expenditures did not exceed appropriations for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018.

#### CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

#### Capital Assets

At September 30, 2018, the District had \$3,193,007 invested in capital assets for its governmental activities. In the government-wide financial statements depreciation of \$759,707 has been taken, which resulted in a net book value of \$2,433,300. More detailed information about the District's capital assets is presented in the notes of the financial statements.

#### Capital Debt

At September 30, 2018, the District had \$18,730,000 in Bonds outstanding for its governmental activities. In addition, the District owes the Developer \$268,141. More detailed information about the District's capital debt is presented in the notes of the financial statements.

#### ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET

The District does anticipate incurring additional significant costs related to the Capital Improvement Plan for Wellspring Drive and Performance Drive subsequent to fiscal year end. See Notes 5 and 7 for additional information.

#### CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide property owners, customers, investors and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the financial resources it manages and the stewardship of the facilities it maintains. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Myrtle Creek Improvement District's Finance Department at 12051 Corporate Boulevard, Orlando, Florida 32817.

#### MYRTLE CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF NET POSITION SEPTEMBER 30, 2018

400570		rnmental tivities
ASSETS		
Cash	\$	387,001
Investments		3,848
Interest receivable		1,749
Due from developer		248,387
Prepaids and deposits		11,800
Restricted assets:		
Investments		1,354,435
Capital assets:		
Nondepreciable		278,501
Depreciable, net		2,154,799
Total assets		4,440,520
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES		
Deferred charge on refunding (debit)		600,604
Total deferred outflows of resources		600,604
LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable		62,659
Due to other governments		8,177
Accrued interest payable		264,833
Non-current liabilities:		
Due within one year		695,000
Due in more than one year	18	3,882,163
Total liabilities	19	9,912,832
		,
NET POSITION		
Net investment in capital assets	(10	6,148,680)
Restricted for debt service	,	901,873
Unrestricted		375,099
Total net position	\$ (14	4,871,708)
•		

# MYRTLE CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2018

Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position		Governmental	Activities				(386, 101)	705,936	319,835
2 0	Capital	Grants and	Contributions			٠	3,816	-	3,816
Program Revenues		Grants and (	Contributions C			<b>⇔</b>	1	14,770	14,770
G G	Se	for	Services (			\$ 122,499 \$	391,982	1,343,601	1,858,082
	I		Expenses			\$ 122,499	781,899	652,435	1,556,833
			Functions/Programs	Primary government:	Governmental activities:	General government	Physical environment	Interest on long-term debt	Total governmental activities

	100	2,778	2,878	322,713	(15,194,421)	\$ (14,871,708)
General revenues:	Miscellaneous	Interest income	Total general revenues	Change in net position	Net position - beginning	Net position - ending

See notes to the financial statements

# MYRTLE CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS SEPTEMBER 30, 2018

	Major Funds							Total	
							G	Governmental	
		General		Service	Projects			Funds	
ASSETS									
Cash	\$	387,001	\$	-	\$	-	\$	387,001	
Investments		3,848		1,165,202		189,233		1,358,283	
Due from developer		-		-		248,387		248,387	
Interest receivable		-		1,504		245		1,749	
Prepaids and deposits		11,800		-		-		11,800	
Total assets	\$	402,649	\$	1,166,706	\$	437,865	\$	2,007,220	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES									
Liabilities:									
Accounts payable	\$	19,373	\$	_	\$	43,286	\$	62,659	
Due to other governments	•	8,177	_	_	*	-	•	8,177	
Total liabilities		27,550		-		43,286		70,836	
Fund balances:									
Nonspendable:		44.000						44.000	
Prepaid items		11,800		-		-		11,800	
Restricted for:				1 100 700				4 400 700	
Debt service		-		1,166,706		-		1,166,706	
Capital projects		-		-		394,579		394,579	
Assigned for:		202 424						202 424	
Subsequent years expenditures		202,131		-		-		202,131	
Future maintenance		161,168		1 100 700		- 204 570		161,168	
Total fund balances		375,099		1,166,706		394,579		1,936,384	
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	402,649	\$	1,166,706	\$	437,865	\$	2,007,220	

# MYRTLE CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET – GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION SEPTEMBER 30, 2018

Total fund balances - governmental funds	\$ 1,936,384
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:	
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as assets in the governmental funds. The statement of net position includes those capital assets in the net position of the government as a whole.  Cost of capital assets  Accumulated depreciation	3,193,007 (759,707)
Deferred charges on refunding of long-term debt are shown as deferred outflows/inflows of resources in the government-wide financial statements; however, this amount is expensed in the governmental fund financial statements.	600,604
Liabilities not due and payable from current available resources are not reported as liabilities in the governmental fund statements. All liabilities, both current and long-term, are reported in the government-wide financial statements.	
Accrued interest payable	(264,833)
Bonds payable	(18,730,000)
Unamortized bond issuance premium	(579,022)
Developer advance	 (268,141)
Net position of governmental activities	\$ (14,871,708)

# MYRTLE CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2018

	Major Funds							Total
	Debt Capital					Governmental		
		General		Service		Projects		Funds
REVENUES								_
Assessments	\$	514,481	\$	1,343,601	\$	-	\$	1,858,082
Miscellaneous		100		-		-		100
Interest income		2,778		14,770		3,816		21,364
Total revenues		517,359		1,358,371		3,816		1,879,546
EXPENDITURES								
Current:								
General government		122,499		-		-		122,499
Physical environment		587,599		-		-		587,599
Debt service:								
Principal		-		670,000		-		670,000
Interest		-		662,400		-		662,400
Capital outlay		-				278,501		278,501
Total expenditures		710,098		1,332,400		278,501		2,320,999
Excess (deficiency) of revenues								
over (under) expenditures		(192,739)		25,971		(274,685)		(441,453)
, ,		,				, ,		
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)								
Interfund transfers		(650)		-		650		-
Developer advance		-		-		268,141		268,141
Total other financing sources (uses)		(650)		-		268,791		268,141
Net change in fund balances		(193,389)		25,971		(5,894)		(173,312)
Fund balances - beginning		568,488		1,140,735		400,473		2,109,696
Fund balances - ending	\$	375,099	\$	1,166,706	\$	394,579	\$	1,936,384

### MYRTLE CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA

## RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2018

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds	\$ (173,312)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures; however, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is eliminated and is capitalized in the statement of net position as capital assets.	278,501
Depreciation of capital assets is not recognized in the governmental fund statements but is reported as an expense in the statement of activities.	(194,300)
Governmental funds report Developer advances as financial resources when cash is received, whereas these amounts are eliminated in the statement of activities and recognized as long-term liabilities in the statement of net position.	(268,141)
Repayment of long-term liabilities are reported as expenditures in the governmental fund statement but such repayments reduce liabilities in the statement of net position and are eliminated in the statement of activities.	670,000
Amortization of the deferred charge on refunding is not recognized in the governmental fund financial statements, but is reported as an expense in the statement of activities.	(33,442)
Amortization of Bond premium is not recognized in the governmental fund financial statements, but is reported as a reduction of interest in the statement of activities.	32,240
The change in accrued interest on long-term liabilities between the current and prior fiscal year is recorded in the statement of activities but not in the fund financial statements.	11,167
Change in net position of governmental activities	\$ 322,713

#### MYRTLE CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### NOTE 1 - NATURE OF ORGANIZATION AND REPORTING ENTITY

Myrtle Creek Improvement District ("District") was established in 2001 by the City of Orlando City Commissioners pursuant to the Uniform Community Development District Act of 1980, otherwise known as Chapter 190, Florida Statutes, under City of Orlando Ordinance 011126705. The Act provides among other things, the power to manage basic services for Improvement, power to borrow money and issue bonds, and to levy and assess non-ad valorem assessments for the financing and delivery of capital infrastructure.

The District was established for the purposes of financing and managing the acquisition, construction, maintenance and operation of a portion of the infrastructure necessary for community development within the District.

The District is governed by the Board of Supervisors ("Board"), which is composed of five members. The Supervisors are elected by the owners of the property within the District. The Board exercises all powers granted to the District pursuant to Chapter 190, Florida Statutes.

The Board has the final responsibility for:

- 1. Assessing and levying assessments.
- 2. Approving budgets.
- 3. Exercising control over facilities and properties.
- 4. Controlling the use of funds generated by the District.
- 5. Approving the hiring and firing of key personnel.
- 6. Financing improvements.

The financial statements were prepared in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") Statements. Under the provisions of those standards, the financial reporting entity consists of the primary government, organizations for which the District is considered to be financially accountable and other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the District are such that, if excluded, the financial statements of the District would be considered incomplete or misleading. There are no entities considered to be component units of the District; therefore, the financial statements include only the operations of the District.

#### NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements**

The basic financial statements include both government-wide and fund financial statements.

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. *Program revenues* include 1) charges to customers who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment. Operating-type special assessments for maintenance and debt service are treated as charges for services and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Other items not included among program revenues are reported instead as *general revenues*.

#### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement* focus and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Assessments are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are to be recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be *available* when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting; however, debt service expenditures are recorded only when payment is due.

#### Assessments

Assessments are non-ad valorem assessments on all assessable property within the District. Assessments are levied to pay for the operations and maintenance and debt service of the District. The fiscal year for which annual assessments are levied begins on October 1 and, if collected using the Uniform Method of Collection, with discounts available for payments through February 28 and become delinquent on April 1. Alternatively, the District adopts a resolution providing for the collection dates and directly collects the assessments.

Assessments and interest associated with the current fiscal period are considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. The portion of assessments receivable due within the current fiscal period is considered to be susceptible to accrual as revenue of the current period.

The District reports the following major governmental funds:

#### General Fund

The general fund is the general operating fund of the District. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

#### Debt Service Fund

The debt service fund is used to account for the accumulation of resources for the annual payment of principal and interest on long-term debt.

#### Capital Projects Fund

This fund accounts for the financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major infrastructure within the District.

As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first for qualifying expenditures, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

#### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### Assets, Liabilities and Net Position or Equity

#### Restricted Assets

These assets represent cash and investments set aside pursuant to Bond covenants or other contractual restrictions.

#### Deposits and Investments

The District's cash on hand and demand deposits are considered to be cash and cash equivalents.

The District has elected to proceed under the Alternative Investment Guidelines as set forth in Section 218.415 (17) Florida Statutes. The District may invest any surplus public funds in the following:

- a) The Local Government Surplus Trust Funds, or any intergovernmental investment pool authorized pursuant to the Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act;
- b) Securities and Exchange Commission registered money market funds with the highest credit quality rating from a nationally recognized rating agency:
- c) Interest bearing time deposits or savings accounts in qualified public depositories;
- d) Direct obligations of the U.S. Treasury.

Securities listed in paragraph c and d shall be invested to provide sufficient liquidity to pay obligations as they come due. In addition, surplus funds may be deposited into certificates of deposit which are insured and any unspent Bond proceeds are required to be held in investments as specified in the Bond Indenture.

The District records all interest revenue related to investment activities in the respective funds. Investments are measured at amortized cost or reported at fair value as required by generally accepted accounting principles.

#### Prepaid Items

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements.

#### Capital Assets

Capital assets which include property, plant and equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, sidewalks and similar items) are reported in the government activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the government as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 (amount not rounded) and an estimated useful life in excess of two years. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized. Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed.

Property, plant and equipment of the District are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Assets Years
Infrastructure 15 - 30

In the governmental fund financial statements, amounts incurred for the acquisition of capital assets are reported as fund expenditures. Depreciation expense is not reported in the governmental fund financial statements.

#### NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### Assets, Liabilities and Net Position or Equity (Continued)

#### Unearned Revenue

Governmental funds report unearned revenue in connection with resources that have been received, but not yet earned.

#### Refundings of Debt

For current refundings and advance refundings resulting in the defeasance of debt, the difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying amount of the old debt is reported as a deferred outflow of resources and recognized ratably as a component of interest expense over the remaining life of the old debt or the life of the new debt, whichever is shorter. In connection with the refunding, \$33,442 was recognized as a component of interest expense in the current fiscal year.

#### **Long-Term Obligations**

In the government-wide financial statements long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the statement of net position. Bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized ratably over the life of the Bonds. Bonds payable are reported net of applicable premiums or discounts. Bond issuance costs are expensed when incurred.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

#### Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time.

#### Fund Equity/Net Position

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report non spendable and restricted fund balance for amounts that are not available for appropriation or are legally restricted by outside parties for use for a specific purpose. Assignments of fund balance represent tentative management plans that are subject to change.

The District can establish limitations on the use of fund balance as follows:

<u>Committed fund balance</u> – Amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action (resolution) of the Board of Supervisors. Commitments may be changed or lifted only by the Board of Supervisors taking the same formal action (resolution) that imposed the constraint originally. Resources accumulated pursuant to stabilization arrangements sometimes are reported in this category.

<u>Assigned fund balance</u> – Includes spendable fund balance amounts that are intended to be used for specific purposes that are neither considered restricted nor committed. The Board may also assign fund balance as it does when appropriating fund balance to cover differences in estimated revenue and appropriations in the subsequent year's appropriated budget. Assignments are generally temporary and normally the same formal action need not be taken to remove the assignment.

#### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### Assets, Liabilities and Net Position or Equity (Continued)

#### Fund Equity/Net Position (Continued)

The District first uses committed fund balance, followed by assigned fund balance and then unassigned fund balance when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

Net position is the difference between assets and deferred outflows of resources less liabilities and deferred inflows of resources. Net position in the government-wide financial statements are categorized as net investment in capital assets, restricted or unrestricted. Net investment in capital assets represents net position related to infrastructure and property, plant and equipment. Restricted net position represents the assets restricted by the District's Bond covenants or other contractual restrictions. Unrestricted net position consists of the net position not meeting the definition of either of the other two components.

#### **Other Disclosures**

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### **NOTE 3 – BUDGETARY INFORMATION**

The District is required to establish a budgetary system and an approved Annual Budget. Annual Budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for the general fund. All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year-end.

The District follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements.

- Each year the District Manager submits to the District Board a proposed operating budget for the fiscal year commencing the following October 1.
- b) Public hearings are conducted to obtain public comments.
- c) Prior to October 1, the budget is legally adopted by the District Board.
- d) All budget changes must be approved by the District Board.
- e) The budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles.
- f) Unused appropriation for annually budgeted funds lapse at the end of the year.

#### **NOTE 4 - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS**

#### **Deposits**

The District's cash balances, were entirely covered by federal depository insurance or by a collateral pool pledged to the State Treasurer. Florida Statutes Chapter 280, "Florida Security for Public Deposits Act", requires all qualified depositories to deposit with the Treasurer or another banking institution eligible collateral equal to various percentages of the average daily balance for each month of all public deposits in excess of any applicable deposit insurance held. The percentage of eligible collateral (generally, U.S. Governmental and agency securities, state or local government debt, or corporate bonds) to public deposits is dependent upon the depository's financial history and its compliance with Chapter 280. In the event of a failure of a qualified public depository, the remaining public depositories would be responsible for covering any resulting losses.

#### NOTE 4 – DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

#### **Investments**

The District's investments were held as follows at September 30, 2018:

	Am	ortized Cost	Credit Risk	Maturities
First American Government Obligation Fund Class Y	\$	1,354,435	S&P AAAm	Weighted average of the portfolio: 26 days
Investment in Local Government Surplus				Weighted average of the
Funds Trust Fund (Florida PRIME)		3,848	S&P AAAm	portfolio: 33 days
Total Investments	\$	1,358,283		

Credit risk – For investments, credit risk is generally the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Investment ratings by investment type are included in the preceding summary of investments.

Concentration risk – The District places no limit on the amount the District may invest in any one issuer.

*Interest rate risk* – The District does not have a formal policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

However, the Bond Indenture limits the type of investments held using unspent proceeds.

Fair Value Measurement – When applicable, the District measures and records its investments using fair value measurement guidelines established in accordance with GASB Statements. The framework for measuring fair value provides a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques.

These guidelines recognize a three-tiered fair value hierarchy, in order of highest priority, as follows:

- Level 1: Investments whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical investments in active markets that the District has the ability to access;
- Level 2: Investments whose inputs other than quoted market prices are observable either directly or indirectly; and,
- Level 3: Investments whose inputs are unobservable.

The fair value measurement level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the entire fair value measurement. Valuation techniques used should maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

Money market investments that have a maturity at the time of purchase of one year or less and are held by governments other than external investment pools should be measured at amortized cost. For external investment pools that qualify to be measured at amortized cost, the pool's participants should also measure their investments in that external investment pool at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. Accordingly, the District's investments have been reported at amortized cost above.

#### **NOTE 5 - CAPITAL ASSETS**

Capital asset activity for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018 was as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance
Governmental activities				
Capital assets, not being depreciated				
Construction in progress	-	278,501	-	278,501
Total capital assets, not being depreciated		278,501	-	278,501
Capital assets, being depreciated				
Infrastructure	2,914,506	-	_	2,914,506
Total capital assets, being depreciated	2,914,506	-	-	2,914,506
Less: accumulated depreciation for:				
Infrastructure	565,407	194,300	-	759,707
Total accumulated depreciation	565,407	194,300	-	759,707
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	2,349,099	(194,300)	-	2,154,799
Governmental activities capital assets	\$ 2,349,099	\$ 84,201	\$ -	\$ 2,433,300

In fiscal year 2006, the total estimated costs of the 2006 project were approximately \$33,500,000 and the only items to be maintained and operated by the District were some of the stormwater infrastructure and the common areas. At the time of the Series 2016A the District had spent \$31.4 million to date on the 2006 project and the District completed the access roadway to the Water Mark Apartments in 2013 as the final major infrastructure project of the 2006 project. Also at the time of the issuance of the Series 2016A bonds the District Engineer certified that the 2006 Project Construction was complete in substantial compliance with the specifications; provided, however, the District had not yet acquired several stormwater drainage ponds in connection with the Interchange. At the time of the Series 2016A refunding approximately \$500,000 that was in the 2006A Deferred Cost Subaccount and 2006A Acquisition and Construction account was transferred to the Series 2016A Acquisition and Construction Account for the purchase of these ponds which has not been completed as of September 30, 2018. The Engineer's report dated July 14, 2016 estimates additional costs of \$12,300,000 related to the Wellspring Drive and Performance Drive project. The Developer, Lake Nona Land Company LLC, is providing funds for this project that are intended to be reimbursable from proceeds of the District's future issuance of tax-exempt bonds pursuant to an agreement ("Developer Advance Agreement"). If the District does not or cannot issue bonds within three years of October 12, 2018, the funds provided by the Developer for this project shall be deemed paid in lieu of taxes, fees, or assessments.

#### **NOTE 6 – LONG-TERM LIABILITIES**

#### Series 2016 Bonds

On September 9, 2016, the District issued \$19,810,000 of Special Assessment Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2016A consisting of \$3,255,000 Serial Bonds Series 2016A due on May 1 in the years 2017 to 2021 with a fixed interest rate of 4.00%, Series 2016A consisting of \$5,195,000 Term Bonds Series 2016A due on May 1, 2027 with a fixed interest rate of 4.00% and \$11,360,000 Term Bonds Series 2016A due in May 1, 2037 with a fixed interest rate of 3.00%. The Bonds were issued to refund the Series 2006 Bonds outstanding at the time of issuance of the Series 2016A Bonds. Interest is to be paid semiannually on each May 1 and November 1. Principal on the Bonds is to be paid serially commencing May 1, 2017 through May 1, 2037.

The Series 2016A Bonds are subject to redemption at the option of the District prior to their maturity. The Bonds are subject to mandatory and extraordinary mandatory redemption prior to their selected maturity in the manner determined by the Bond Registrar if certain events occurred as outlined in the Bond Indenture.

The Bond Indenture established a debt service reserve requirement as well as other restrictions and requirements relating principally to the use of proceeds to pay for the infrastructure improvements and the procedures to be followed by the District on assessments to property owners. The District agrees to levy special assessments in annual amounts adequate to provide payment of debt service and to meet the reserve requirements. The District was in compliance with the requirements at September 30, 2018.

#### NOTE 6 - LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

#### **Developer Advances**

The Developer has agreed to fund a portion of the construction costs of the District's infrastructure pursuant to the Developer Advance Agreement mentioned in Note 5. In connection with that agreement, Developer advances to the capital projects fund during fiscal year 2018 were \$268,141, which includes a receivable of \$248,387. The balance owed to the developer is not included in the maturity schedule below. Pursuant to the Developer Advance Agreement, in order for the Developer advances to be repaid, the District must issue bonds within three years of October 12, 2018 or the funds provided by the Developer under the Developer advance agreement will be considered paid in lieu of taxes, fees, or assessments.

#### **Long-term Debt Activity**

Changes in long-term liability activity for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018 were as follows:

	Beginning						Ending	Dι	ue Within	
	 Balance		Additions		Reductions		Balance		One Year	
Governmental activities										
Series 2016 Bonds	\$ 19,400,000	\$	-	\$	670,000	\$	18,730,000	\$	695,000	
Add: issuance premium	611,262		-		32,240		579,022		-	
Developer Advance	 -		268,141		-		268,141		-	
Total	\$ 20,011,262	\$	268,141	\$	702,240	\$	19,577,163	\$	695,000	

At September 30, 2018, the scheduled debt service requirements on the long-term debt were as follows:

	Governmental Activities							
Year ending								
September 30:		Principal		Interest	Total			
2019	\$	695,000	\$	635,600	\$	1,330,600		
2020		725,000		607,800		1,332,800		
2021		755,000		578,800		1,333,800		
2022	785,000			548,600		1,333,600		
2023		815,000		517,200		1,332,200		
2024-2028		4,585,000		2,070,800		6,655,800		
2029-2033		5,415,000		1,240,350		6,655,350		
2034-2037		4,955,000		377,100		5,332,100		
	\$	18,730,000	\$	6,576,250	\$	25,306,250		

#### **NOTE 7 – DEVELOPER TRANSACTIONS AND CONCENTRATION**

A significant portion of the District's activity is dependent upon continued involvement of the Developer, the loss of which could have a material adverse effect on the District's operations. At September 30, 2018 the Developer owned a significant amount of the assessable property located within the District's boundaries.

In July 2016, the District entered into an agreement with the Developer relating to the Wellspring Drive project. The Developer agreed to fund and commence the project while the District seeks funding from the sale of special assessment revenue bonds. The Developer agrees to convey any improvements and any related property related to the project. As discussed in Note 6, in connection with that agreement, Developer advances to the capital projects fund during fiscal year 2018 were \$268,141, which includes a receivable of \$248,387.

#### **NOTE 8 - INTERLOCAL AGREEMENTS**

The District previously entered into an interlocal agreement related to cost sharing for certain infrastructure projects with Greeneway Improvement District ("Greeneway") and Boggy Creek Improvement District ("Boggy Creek"). These districts are related through a common Developer. The agreement provides for the improvements to be constructed, acquired or otherwise provided by Boggy Creek and that Boggy Creek will be reimbursed for these costs from Greeneway and the District. The projected costs related to the agreement were projected at approximately \$33,800,000 with the costs to be split 32.5% for Boggy Creek, 36% for Greeneway, and 31.5% for the District. Boggy Creek, Greeneway and the District also previously entered into an agreement regarding interchange maintenance costs based on the same cost allocation.

The District also previously entered into a cost sharing agreement with Boggy Creek regarding maintenance costs associated with Lake Nona Gateway Road. The District agreed to share the maintenance cost based on allocation of 50.1% for the District and 49.9% for Boggy Creek.

#### **NOTE 9 - MANAGEMENT COMPANY**

The District has contracted with a management company to perform management advisory services, which include financial and accounting advisory services. Certain employees of the management company also serve as officers (Board appointed non-voting positions) of the District. Under the agreement, the District compensates the management company for management, accounting, financial reporting, and other administrative costs.

#### **NOTE 10 - RISK MANAGEMENT**

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters. The District has obtained commercial insurance from independent third parties to mitigate the costs of these risks; coverage may not extend to all situations. There were no settled claims during the past three years.

# MYRTLE CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL – GENERAL FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2018

						Variance with Final
	В	udgeted				Budget -
	Amounts			Actual	Positive	
	Original & Final			Amounts	(Negative)	
REVENUES						
Assessments	\$	492,716	\$	514,481	\$	21,765
Miscellaneous		-		100		100
Interest income		1,000		2,778		1,778
Total revenues		493,716		517,359		23,643
EXPENDITURES Current:		440.000		400 400		(4.400)
General government		118,000		122,499		(4,499)
Physical environment		666,074		587,599		78,475
Total expenditures		784,074		710,098		73,976
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures		(290,358)		(192,739)		97,619
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES				()		()
Interfund transfers		-		(650)		(650)
Net change in fund balances	\$	(290,358)		(193,389)	\$	96,969
Fund balance - beginning				568,488		
Fund balance - ending			\$	375,099		

## MYRTLE CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The District is required to establish a budgetary system and an approved Annual Budget for the General Fund. The District's budgeting process is based on estimates of cash receipts and cash expenditures which are approved by the Board. The budget approximates a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (generally accepted accounting principles).

The legal level of budgetary control, the level at which expenditures may not exceed budget, is in the aggregate. Any budget amendments that increase the aggregate budgeted appropriations must be approved by the Board of Supervisors. Actual general fund expenditures did not exceed appropriations for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018.



951 Yamato Road • Suite 280 Boca Raton, Florida 33431 (561) 994-9299 • (800) 299-4728 Fax (561) 994-5823 www.graucpa.com

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Supervisors Myrtle Creek Improvement District City of Orlando, Florida

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Myrtle Creek Improvement District, City of Orlando, Florida ("District") as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our opinion thereon dated April 1, 2019.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

April 1, 2019

Dear & association



951 Yamato Road • Suite 280 Boca Raton, Florida 33431 (561) 994-9299 • (800) 299-4728 Fax (561) 994-5823 www.graucpa.com

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 218.415, FLORIDA STATUTES, REQUIRED BY RULE 10.556(10) OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

To the Board of Supervisors Myrtle Creek Improvement District City of Orlando, Florida

We have examined Myrtle Creek Improvement District, City of Orlando, Florida's ("District") compliance with the requirements of Section 218.415, Florida Statutes, in accordance with Rule 10.556(10) of the Auditor General of the State of Florida during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018. Management is responsible for District's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on District's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the District complied, in all material respects, with the specified requirements referenced in Section 218.415, Florida Statutes. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about whether the District complied with the specified requirements. The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the aforementioned requirements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Legislative Auditing Committee, members of the Florida Senate and the Florida House of Representatives, the Florida Auditor General, management, and the Board of Supervisors of Myrtle Creek Improvement District, City of Orlando, Florida and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

April 1, 2019

By war & Association



951 Yamato Road • Suite 280 Boca Raton, Florida 33431 (561) 994-9299 • (800) 299-4728 Fax (561) 994-5823 www.graucpa.com

#### MANAGEMENT LETTER PURSUANT TO THE RULES OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF FLORIDA

To the Board of Supervisors Myrtle Creek Improvement District City of Orlando, Florida

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of Myrtle Creek Improvement District, City of Orlando, Florida ("District") as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018, and have issued our report thereon dated April 1, 2019.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and Chapter 10.550, Rules of the Florida Auditor General.

#### Other Reporting Requirements

We have issued our Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards;* and Independent Auditor's Report on an examination conducted in accordance with *AICPA Professional Standards*, AT-C Section 315, regarding compliance requirements in accordance with Chapter 10.550, Rules of the Auditor General. Disclosures in those reports, which are dated April 1, 2019, should be considered in conjunction with this management letter.

#### **Purpose of this Letter**

The purpose of this letter is to comment on those matters required by Chapter 10.550 of the Rules of the Auditor General for the State of Florida. Accordingly, in connection with our audit of the financial statements of the District, as described in the first paragraph, we report the following:

- I. Current year findings and recommendations.
- II. Status of prior year findings and recommendations.
- III. Compliance with the Provisions of the Auditor General of the State of Florida.

Our management letter is intended solely for the information and use of the Legislative Auditing Committee, members of the Florida Senate and the Florida House of Representatives, the Florida Auditor General, Federal and other granting agencies, as applicable, management, and the Board of Supervisors of Myrtle Creek Improvement District, City of Orlando, Florida and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We wish to thank Myrtle Creek Improvement District, City of Orlando, Florida and the personnel associated with it, for the opportunity to be of service to them in this endeavor as well as future engagements, and the courtesies extended to us.

April 1, 2019

Byon & association

#### REPORT TO MANAGEMENT

#### I. CURRENT YEAR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

None

#### II. PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

None

#### III. COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

Unless otherwise required to be reported in the auditor's report on compliance and internal controls, the management letter shall include, but not be limited to the following:

1. A statement as to whether or not corrective actions have been taken to address findings and recommendations made in the preceding annual financial audit report.

There were no significant findings and recommendations made in the preceding annual financial audit report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017.

2. Any recommendations to improve the local governmental entity's financial management.

There were no such matters discovered by, or that came to the attention of, the auditor, to be reported for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018.

3. Noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, or abuse, that have occurred, or are likely to have occurred, that have an effect on the financial statements that is less than material but which warrants the attention of those charged with governance.

There were no such matters discovered by, or that came to the attention of, the auditor, to be reported, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018.

- 4. The name or official title and legal authority of the District are disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.
- 5. The District has not met one or more of the financial emergency conditions described in Section 218.503(1), Florida Statutes.
- 6. We applied financial condition assessment procedures and no deteriorating financial conditions were noted as of September 30, 2018. It is management's responsibility to monitor financial condition, and our financial condition assessment was based in part on representations made by management and the review of financial information provided by same.